

## Effect of Foliar Application of Gibberellic Acid on Plant Growth, Flowering and Yield Attributes in Pansy (*Viola xwittrockiana* Gams.)

### ABSTRACT

An investigation was carried out to study the effect of foliar application GA<sub>3</sub> on plant growth and quality attributes in Pansy (*Viola xwittrockiana* Gams.) during 2019-2020. The field experiment was laid out at randomized complete block design (RCBD). The 18 treatment combinations including 6 doses of GA<sub>3</sub> i.e., 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 ppm were applied at 3 durations i.e., 30, 40 and 50 DAT along with a non-factorial control. Of them, GA<sub>3</sub> @ 300 ppm at 40 DAT showed significant increment in plant height (34%), plant spread (32%), number of flowers per plant (25%), number of flowers per plot (25%), size of flowers (35%), flowering duration (25%), seed yield per plant (68%), seed yield per plot (68%) and seed yield per hectare (68%), number of seeds per capsule (48%), number of capsules per plant (38%) as compared to control checks whereas earliest flowering was observed due to GA<sub>3</sub> @ 300 ppm when applied at 30 DAT.

**Keywords:** Foliar, GA<sub>3</sub>, Pansy, RCBD, DAT

### INTRODUCTION

Pansy (*Viola xwittrockiana* Gams.), a popular, commercially important ornamental bedding plant in both developed and developing countries (Gandolfo *et al.*, 2016). It is a winter annual and belongs to family Violaceae. The word 'Pansy' has been derived from a French word 'Pensee' meaning 'loving thoughts' (Desai, 2009). It is basically derived from *Viola tricolor* and is native to Central Europe (Chattopadhyay, 2007). The Pansy producing purple colour flowers is believed to represent sweet memories. It is well-documented that the origin of modern pansy (*Viola xwittrockiana* Gams.) began in England in 1815 by hybridizing wild pansy (*Viola tricolour* L.) with some other *Viola* species (Anonymous, 1976). Pansies are divided into two groups viz., 'Clear types' bearing flowers with solid colour and 'Faced type', which are multi-coloured or with spots or patches of various colours. However, based on flower size, the pansy is categorized into three main types namely, large (with a bloom of 7.5-10 cm diameter), medium (bloom size 5.0-7.5 cm), and small (bloom size of 2.5-5.0 cm), respectively.

The pansy flowers are borne singly on the long stalk with attractive colours and shapes (Salunkhe *et al.*, 1987). These are ideal for utilizing in winter landscapes as they are cold tolerant plants and grow more luxuriantly with profuse flowering under cool and moist environment. They are often cultivated in garden beds, pots, borders, hanging baskets, or landscapes as annuals or biennials (Bailey, 1998).

The plant growth regulators of either natural or synthetic origin may incite some changes in the various processes of growth, development and flowering of plants in general and ornamentals in particular by manipulating the requisite physiological, biochemical and flower induction reactions during primary and secondary metabolism (Heldet, 1997). Gibberellins are naturally occurring plant growth regulators that are produced in response to both developmental and environmental cues (Iqbal and Ashraf, 2013). Yuan *et al.*, (2003) has reviewed effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and stated that it regulates plant height, more number of branches as well as increase productivity. Gibberellins have an impact on plants at every phase of their life cycles, showing a remarkable diversity in their physiological activities (Pradeepkumaret *al.*, 2020).

Numerous research works have revealed the effects of GA<sub>3</sub> on plant growth, flowering and yield attributes in several crops. Shrestha *et al* (2020), have shown that foliar application of gibberellic acid significantly improves quality of calendula flowers. Biswas *et al.*, (2021), observed better plant growth and yield attributing characters with application of GA<sub>3</sub>. Khangarakpamet *al* (2019), also observed similar results in marigold with application of GA<sub>3</sub> in China aster. Husain and co-workers (2018) (*why not et al.*) also observed increase in seed yield with GA<sub>3</sub> application in pea.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The experiment was conducted on pansy (*Viola × wittrockiana* Gams.) during winter season of 2019-2020 at Dr YS Parmar University, Nauni, Solan. The treatment combinations consisted of 6 different doses of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), viz., 50 ppm, 100 ppm, 150 ppm, 200 ppm, 250 ppm and 300ppm at three different times *i.e.*, 30 DAT, 40 DAT and 50 DAT along with control. The experimental design followed in field was randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications per treatment. The plant spacing was 30 × 25 cm which occupies 12 plants per plot. Sowing was done on first fortnight of October and transplanting was carried out 30 days after sowing and standard cultural practices like hoeing, irrigation, weeding and fertilizer application were followed as per the requirement of the crop. Data on various growth, flowering and yield parameters were recorded and statistically analyzed by applying the technique of analysis of variance. Statistical interference was implied with ANOVA, keeping the level of significance at 5% (P=0.05).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Growth parameters:**

The analysis of variances obtained for the parameters under study have exhibited significant variations among different treatments alone and in combinations as well. As regards the effects of GA<sub>3</sub> doses, G<sub>6</sub>(GA<sub>3</sub> @ 300ppm), recorded highest values for plant height (30.24 cm).

Moreover, GA<sub>3</sub> application at 40 DAT (40 days after transplanting; D<sub>2</sub>) produced maximum plant height (27.44 cm). Maximum plant height (31.29 cm) was significantly induced by the interaction effects of G<sub>6</sub> × D<sub>2</sub>. In general, the increase of plant height by GA<sub>3</sub> treatment could be due to the induction of cell division and cell elongation on faster rate. The results are in line with the research findings obtained in African marigold cultivar's 'PusaNarangi' and 'PusaBasanti' (Ramdevputra *et al.*, 2009), *Dahlia pinnata* (Youssef *et al.*, 2008), sunflower (Sethy *et al.*, 2016) in as well as *Calendula officinalis* cv. 'Bon Bon' (Khuduset *et al.*, 2017).

The plant spread was also found higher in G<sub>6</sub> (25.81 cm), D<sub>2</sub> (24.11 cm) and their interaction G<sub>6</sub> × D<sub>2</sub> (17.87), which could be as a consequence of division and enlargement of cells and tissues as well as production of more number of side branches. Similar results have been reported by Khuduset *et al.* (2017) in *Calendula officinalis* cv. 'Bon Bon' and Khangjarakpamet *et al.* (2019) in African marigold.

More number of flowers per plant, flowers per plot, is higher when GA<sub>3</sub> is applied @ 300 ppm as well as when applied at 40 days after transplanting (See Table No. 1 & 2). Their interaction G<sub>6</sub> × D<sub>2</sub> produced highest flowers (See Table No. 3). The production of more flowers per plant might be as a consequence of producing maximum number of lateral particularly at juvenile stages of growth leading to developing of maximum vegetative buds. In due course of time, these buds have differentiated and converted in to flowering buds. Similar results have also been documented in gerbera (Patra *et al.*, 2015), Sweet William (Thakur *et al.*, 2018), and marigold cv. 'PusaNarangi Gaiinda' (Thakur *et al.*, 2019).

Increase in size of flowers (2.70), is when 300 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> is applied as well as when applied at D<sub>2</sub> i.e., 40 DAT (2.24 cm). The interaction G<sub>6</sub> × D<sub>2</sub> significantly shows increase in the size of flower (2.81 cm). The application of GA<sub>3</sub> particularly at higher doses and optimum stage of growth might have increased flower size as a consequence of forming a better source - sink duo so as to accumulate higher concentration of metabolites and their utilization by the plants resulting in the production of large size flowers. Similar results have also been documented in chrysanthemum (Sharifuzzaman *et al.*, 2011), carnation (Kumaret *et al.*, 2012), *Dianthus caryophyllus* L (El-Naggaret *et al.*, 2009), calendula (Shrestha *et al.*, 2020).

The maximum duration of flowering (88.00 days) occurs with application of 300 ppm of GA<sub>3</sub>. The increase in flowering duration results in D<sub>2</sub> (83.50 days). The interaction G<sub>6</sub> × D<sub>2</sub> significantly shows extend in flowering duration (89.33 days).

#### **Seed yield and yield contributing characters:**

More number of capsules per plant (53.33) were observed to be significantly superior in G<sub>6</sub>. The number of capsules per plant (47.39) is higher in D<sub>2</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> at 40 DAT). The interaction G<sub>6</sub> × D<sub>2</sub> significantly produced more number of capsules (54.33) per plant. More number of capsules per plant could be attributed to the production of maximum flowers of better size and quality. Subsequently, these flowers upon pollination could develop capsules in sufficient quantum, hence, more number of capsules were produced per plant.

More number of seeds per capsule (64.00) were significantly higher in GA<sub>3</sub> i.e. 300 ppm (G<sub>6</sub>). The number of seeds per capsule (52.50) was higher with application of GA<sub>3</sub> at 40 DAT. The interaction G<sub>6</sub> × D<sub>2</sub> significantly produced more number of seeds (52.50) per capsule. Production of more seeds with higher doses of GA<sub>3</sub> at proper stage may be as a consequence of better growth and flowering of plants as well as improving pollination and fertilization resulting in better seed setting. Therefore, said treatment combination could produce maximum seeds in a capsule. These results are in line with the findings of Hoque and Haque (2002), who observed more number of seeds per pod in mungbean with the application of higher doses of GA<sub>3</sub>. Similarly Thakur *et al.* (2019) in African marigold also observed more seeds per head.

The maximum seed yield (3.42 g/plant), (41.00 g/plot) and (409.95 kg/ha) was found in G<sub>6</sub>. The seed yield (2.29 g/plant), (27.42 g/plot) and (274.20 kg/ha) is highest in D<sub>2</sub>. The interaction revealed that GA<sub>3</sub> @ 300 ppm after 40 days of transplanting G<sub>6</sub> × D<sub>2</sub> produced maximum seed yield (3.57 g/plant), (42.86 g/plot) and (428.60 kg/ha).

Whereas, the minimum plant height, plant spread, number of flowers per plant, number of flowers per plot, size of flowers, duration of flowering, seed yield, number of seeds per capsule, number of capsules per plant, as well as maximum time to first flowering were reported with the application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm (G<sub>1</sub>; See Table No.1).

However, the plant height, plant spread, number of flowers per plant, number of flowers per plot, size of flowers, duration of flowering, number of seeds per capsule, number of capsules per plant, seed yield were observed to be the minimum with the application of GA<sub>3</sub> after 50 days of transplanting (D<sub>3</sub>; See Table No.2).

On the contrary, the values for plant height, plant spread, number of flowers per plant, number of flowers per plot, size of flowers, duration of flowering, number of seeds per capsule, number of capsules per plant, seed yield, were observed to be the lowest in control (T<sub>1</sub>; See Table No. 3).

Table 1. Effect of different doses and durations of GA<sub>3</sub> on various growth and quality parameters of pansy (*Viola xwittrockiana*Gams.)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	Flower diameter (cm)	Days to first flowering	Duration of flowering	Number of flowers/plant	Number of flowers/plot	Number of capsules/plant	Number of seeds/capsule	Seed yield/plant (g)	Seed Yield/plot (g)	Seed Yield/ha (kg)
Doses of GA <sub>3</sub> (ppm)												
G <sub>1</sub> (GA <sub>3</sub> 350ppm)	22.48	20.41	1.87	74.56	70.56	102.33	1228.00	38.67	37.11	1.44	17.25	172.47
G <sub>2</sub> (GA <sub>3</sub> 100ppm)	24.58	22.61	1.90	71.44	75.89	115.61	1387.33	41.67	44.78	1.87	22.18	221.83
G <sub>3</sub> (GA <sub>3</sub> 150ppm)	26.19	23.39	1.96	66.89	82.89	121.89	1462.67	44.89	47.33	2.13	25.50	255.01
G <sub>4</sub> (GA <sub>3</sub> 200ppm)	27.71	24.07	2.15	65.67	85.22	125.67	1508.00	47.89	52.44	2.51	30.15	301.53
G <sub>5</sub> (GA <sub>3</sub> 250ppm)	28.90	24.99	2.51	61.11	86.11	129.44	1542.22	52.11	60.33	3.14	37.74	377.39
G <sub>6</sub> (GA <sub>3</sub> 300ppm)	30.24	25.81	2.70	58.78	88.00	130.22	1562.67	53.33	64.00	3.42	41.00	409.95

Table 2. Effect of different durations of GA<sub>3</sub> application on various growth and quality parameters of pansy (*Viola xwittrockiana*Gams.)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	Flower diameter (cm)	Days to first flowering	Duration of Flowering	Number of flowers/plant	Number of flowers/plot	Number of capsules/plant	Number of seeds/capsule	Seed yield/plant (g)	Seed yield/plot (g)	Seed yield/ha (kg)
Durations of GA <sub>3</sub>												
D <sub>1</sub> (30 DAT)	26.52	23.49	2.18	64.83	81.44	120.39	1444.67	46.33	51.33	2.43	29.14	291.36
D <sub>2</sub> (40 DAT)	27.44	24.11	2.24	66.39	83.50	123.08	1477.00	47.39	52.50	2.54	30.35	303.53
D <sub>3</sub> (50 DAT)	26.09	23.04	2.12	68.00	79.39	119.11	1423.78	45.56	49.17	2.29	27.42	274.20

Table 3. Effect of different doses and durations of GA<sub>3</sub> application on various growth and quality parameters of pansy (*Viola xwittrockiana*Gams.)

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	Flower diameter (cm)	Days to first flowering	Duration of Flowering	Number of flowers/plant	Number of flowers/plot	Number of capsules/plant	Number of seeds/capsule	Seed yield/plant (g)	Seed Yield / plot (g)	Seed Yield/ha (kg)
T <sub>1</sub> (Control)	20.63	17.87	1.82	79.67	67.33	98.00	1176.00	33.67	34.00	1.14	13.68	136.84
T <sub>2</sub> G <sub>1</sub> × D <sub>1</sub>	22.03	20.07	1.87	73.67	70.00	101.00	1212.00	38.67	37.33	1.44	17.34	173.36
T <sub>3</sub> G <sub>1</sub> × D <sub>2</sub>	23.63	21.20	1.89	74.00	72.00	105.67	1268.00	39.67	38.00	1.51	18.11	181.12

T <sub>4</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>1</sub> ×	21.77	19.97	1.86	76.00	69.67	100.33	1204.00	37.67	36.00	1.36	16.2 9	162.9 2
T <sub>5</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub> ×	24.33	22.93	1.90	70.00	76.33	115.00	1380.00	41.33	45.33	1.88	25.5 0	225.0 4
T <sub>6</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>2</sub> ×	25.33	23.48	1.91	71.00	79.67	119.83	1438.00	42.67	46.33	1.98	23.0 5	230.5 3
T <sub>7</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>2</sub> ×	24.07	21.42	1.89	73.33	71.67	112.00	1344.00	41.00	42.67	1.75	20.9 9	209.9 2
T <sub>8</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>3</sub> ×	26.10	23.10	1.96	65.00	84.00	121.67	1460.00	44.67	47.33	2.12	25.3 8	253.8 4
T <sub>9</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>3</sub> ×	26.87	24.13	1.98	67.67	85.33	123.67	1484.00	45.67	48.33	2.21	26.4 9	264.8 8
T <sub>10</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>3</sub> ×	25.60	22.95	1.94	68.00	79.33	120.33	1444.00	44.33	46.33	2.05	24.6 3	246.3 2
T <sub>11</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>4</sub> ×	27.67	24.10	2.17	64.00	85.00	125.67	1508.00	47.67	53.00	2.53	30.3 3	303.2 8
T <sub>12</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>4</sub> ×	28.40	24.47	2.30	66.00	87.00	127.00	1524.00	48.67	54.33	2.65	31.7 4	317.4 0
T <sub>13</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>4</sub> ×	27.07	23.63	1.99	67.00	83.67	124.33	1492.00	47.33	50.00	2.37	28.3 9	283.9 2
T <sub>14</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>5</sub> ×	28.97	25.00	2.54	59.00	85.67	129.00	1548.00	52.67	60.33	3.18	38.1 3	381.3 2
T <sub>15</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>5</sub> ×	29.13	25.23	2.56	61.33	87.67	131.00	1572.00	53.33	62.33	3.32	39.8 6	398.6 4
T <sub>16</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>5</sub> ×	28.60	24.73	2.43	63.00	85.00	128.33	1506.00	50.33	58.33	2.94	35.2 2	352.2 0
T <sub>17</sub> xD <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>6</sub>	30.00	25.75	2.65	57.33	87.67	130.00	1560.00	53.00	64.67	3.43	41.1 3	411.3 3
T <sub>18</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>6</sub> ×	31.29	26.17	2.81	58.33	89.33	131.33	1576.00	54.33	65.67	3.57	42.8 6	428.6 0
T <sub>19</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	G <sub>6</sub> ×	29.43	25.52	2.63	60.67	87.00	129.33	1552.00	52.67	61.67	3.25	38.9 9	389.9 2

## CONCLUSION

Application of GA<sub>3</sub> had a beneficial influence on almost all growth and flowering characteristics of Pansy. Among all the six doses of GA<sub>3</sub>, application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 300 ppm was significantly superior over other five concentrations w.r.t. various growth, flowering, seed yield and seed quality parameters this could be due to the induction of cell division and cell elongation on faster rate which ultimately gives more lateral branches, higher flowers per plant and hence greater seed yield. The foliar spray of GA<sub>3</sub> at 40 DAT has exhibited its eminence over other durations in terms of various growth, flowering, seed yield and seed quality parameters. Therefore it is concluded that GA<sub>3</sub> @ 300 ppm sprayed after 40 days of transplanting has proved to be the most effective treatment combination enhancing growth and yield parameters in pansy.

## REFERENCES

1. Adam SR, Pearson S and Hadley P 1996. Modelling growth and development of Pansy cv. 'Universal Violet' in response to photo thermal environment application for decision support and scheduling. *Acta Horticulturae* **417**: 23-32. (not found in the article's body)
2. Anonymous 1976. Hortus third: A concise dictionary of plants cultivated in the United States and Canada. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. New York: Macmillan. pp. 3-7.
3. Bailey DA 1998. Commercial Pansy Production. North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service, North Carolina State University. *Horticulture Information Leaflet* **521**: 1-8.
4. Biswas S, Bordolui S K, Sadhukhan R 2021. Response of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L.) genotypes towards foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub>. *American International Journal of Agricultural Studies* **5 (1)**: 1-15.
5. Chattopadhyay PK 2007. Commercial Floriculture. Gene-Tech Books. New Delhi. pp. 236-257.
6. Desai BB 2009. Seeds handbook: biology, production, processing and storage. 2<sup>nd</sup> revised edition. New York: Marcel Dekker. pp. 376-377.
7. El-Naggar A H, El-Naggar A A M, and Ismaiel N M 2009. Effect of phosphorus application and gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on the growth and flower quality of *Dianthus caryophyllus* L. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science* **6(4)**: 400-410. (not found in the article's body)
8. Gandolfo E, Hakim G, Geraci J, Feuring V, Giardina E, Di Benedetto A 2016. Responses of Pansy (*Viola wittrockiana* Gams.) to the quality of the growing media. *American Journal of Exp. Agric.* **12 (3)**, 1-10.
9. Heldt HW 1997. Plant Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. Oxford University. Pres, London.

10. Hoque M and Haque S 2002. Effect of gibberellic acid on physiological contributing characters of mungbean. *Journal of Biological Sciences***5**: 401-403.
11. Husain AJ, Muhmood AG and Alwan AH 2018. Interactive effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and Proline on nutrients status and growth parameters of pea (*Pisum sativum*L.). *Indian Journal of Ecology* 45(1): 201-204.
12. Iqbal, M., Ashraf, M., 2013. Gibberellic acid mediated induction of salt tolerance in wheat plants: growth, ionic partitioning, photosynthesis, yield and hormonal homeostasis. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 86: 76–85.
13. Khangarakpam G, Singh LJ, Maitra S and Mandal S 2019. Influence of foliar application of gibberellic acid on growth, development, yield and biochemical constituents of African marigold cv. 'PusaNarangiGainda'. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry***8**: 1581-1585.
14. Khudus S, Prasad VM and Jogdand SM 2017. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and flower yield of calendula cv. 'Bon Bon'. *Chemical Science Review and Letters* **6**: 1290-1294.
15. Kumar V, Umara V, and Singh M 2012. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and IAA on growth and flowering of carnation. *HortFlora Research Spectrum* **1(1)**: 69-72.
16. Patra SK, Beura S and Shasani T 2015. Efficacy of GA<sub>3</sub> on growth and flowering regulation of *in vitro* raised hybrid gerbera under shade net. *Agricultural Science Digest* **35**: 173-177.
17. Pradeepkumar CM, Chandrashekar SY, Kavana GB and Supriya BV 2020. A review on role and use of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) in flower production. *International Journal of Chemical Studies***8(1)**: 3076-3084.
18. Ramdevputra MV, Deshmukh HN, Bhutani AM, Savaliya JJ, Pansuriya AG and Kanzaria DR 2009. Effect of different gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) concentrations on growth, flowering and yield of African marigold. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture***4**: 82-85.
19. Salunkhe DK, Desai BB and Bhat NR 1987. Vegetable and Flower Seed Production. Agricole Academy. New Delhi. pp. 437-440.
20. Sathy H, Patra SK and Mohanty CR 2016. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and flowering of ornamental sunflower. *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research***6**: 561-568.
21. Sharifuzzaman S M, Ara K A, Rahman M H, Kabir K, and Talukdar M B 2011. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub>, CCC and MH on vegetative growth, flower yield and quality of Chrysanthemum. *International Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **2(1)**: 17-20.
22. Shrestha B, Karki A and Shrestha J 2020. Effect of foliar application of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on quality attributes of calendula flowers (*Calendula officinalis*) cv. Gitana Fiesta in Chitwan, Nepal. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Practice Volume***5(4)**: 168-173.
23. Thakur A, Datta BS, Mehak DK, Sharma BP and Gupta RK 2018. Influence of plant spacing and GA<sub>3</sub> on growth and flowering of sweet William (*Dianthus barbatus*L.). *International Journal of Farm Sciences* **8**: 122-125.
24. Thakur P, Datta BS, Gupta YC, Mehak DK and Kumar P 2019. Effect of planting dates, mulching and application of GA<sub>3</sub> on growth and flower yield of Marigold (*Tagetes erecta*L.) cv. 'PusaNarangiGainda'. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences***8**: 3028-3039.

25. Youssef ASM and Gomaa AO 2008. Influence of GA<sub>3</sub> application and Kristal on fertilizer on growth, flowering and chemical composition of *Dahlia pinnata* plant (summer flowering type). In: *1<sup>st</sup> International Scientific Conference on Ornamentals Alexandria*, held at Horticulture department, Faculty Agriculture, Moshtohor, Benha University, Egypt 1: 191-207.
26. Yuan L, Wu X, Liao F, Ma G and Xu Q 2003. Hybrid Rice Technology. China Agricultural Press, Beijing China. 132p.

UNDER PEER REVIEW