

Original Research Article

ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL FROM DIFFERENT BLOCKS OF KOMARAM BHEEM, ASIFABAD DISTRICT, TELANGANA

Abstract

The objectives to determine the availability of macro nutrient in soil of these soil samples and provide the assessment of 12 sampling locations were selected. Soil samples were collected at the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm respectively. Soil textural classes were Sandy clay loam. The Water Holding Capacity varies from (38.24 to 45.84%), Bulk Density varies from (1.32 Mg m⁻³ to 1.48 Mg m⁻³). Particle Density varies from (2.31 Mg m⁻³ to 2.45 Mg m⁻³). % Pore Space (43.49% to 49.16 %) the physical condition of the soil was found good. The pH of soil is alkaline in nature (7.25 to 8.92) and the Electrical Conductivity (0.18 to 0.48 dSm¹) was suitable for all crops. Organic carbon was found low to medium (0.33 to 0.48%). These soils have low Nitrogen (200.00 kg ha⁻¹ to 220.00 kg ha⁻¹) in all villages. Phosphorus (16.24 kg ha⁻¹ to 26.58 kg ha⁻¹) is found medium to high. Potassium (225.24 kg ha⁻¹ to 277.51 kg ha⁻¹) is found medium in range. Calcium (4.02 to 5.45 meq 100g⁻¹) and Magnesium (1.92 to 2.76 meq 100g⁻¹) are sufficient in this soil. There is an including awareness of the need to pay greater attention in the role of macronutrients enhancement in the soil for good soil health and proper nutrition of plant so as to attain optimum economic yield and soil is suitable for all major tropical and sub-tropical crops.

Key words: *Komaram Bheem Asifabad district, Physico-chemical properties, Soil Health, Nutrient availability etc.*

INTRODUCTION

Soil is a precious and irreplaceable resource that could be described as the "soul of infinitelife". Its crop producing capacity is the essence of life in the soil, and its productivity is greatly influenced by soil fertility, management practices, and climate (Lal, 2011). The term "soil" represents one of the most dynamic and intricate natural systems on the surface of the earth. It is crucial for the survival of various life forms and serves as a medium for plant

33 growth, providing them with the nutrients they need (Tewari *et al.*, 2016). Thus, without prior
34 knowledge on status of soil fertility might have resulted adverse effects on soils as well
35 as crops both in terms of nutrient deficiency and toxicity either by adequate or over usage of
36 fertilizers (Madhavi *et al.*, 2018). Soil analysis is a process that involves testing soil samples
37 to determine their physical, chemical, and biological properties. The results of a soil analysis
38 can help farmers
39 and gardeners make informed decisions about how to manage their soil for optimal crop yields and also
40 used to make recommendations for fertilization (Smith and Mullins,
41 2015). The knowledge about the physical and chemical properties helps in managing the resources
42 while working with a particular soil. The aim is to
43 set appropriate guidelines for sustainable productivity for
44 better utilization and management of the soil for particular land use. (Pradhan *et al.*, 2020)

45
46 Keeping in view of importance of soil's physical and chemical properties, the present study of
47 Physico-chemical properties of soil collected from various locations of district of Komaram Bheem,
48 Asifabad, Telangana undertaken. The soil sample collection is from 3 blocks of
49 Komaram Bheem Asifabad District in the state of Telangana. Each selecting 4 villages. Samples will
50 be collected randomly from a site of each village using soil auger, Khurpi Knife by composite
51 sampling method at a depth of 0-15cm, 15-30cm. A comparison of the Physico-chemical Properties
52 of some of the soils of different regions of the Telangana state has been undertaken by comparing
53 the results of the present study with the studies done earlier in the other regions of the state. Hence,
54 a detailed study for evaluation of soils is needed to realize the concept of Physico-chemical
55 analysis successfully. With this following objective, a study has been undertaken in soil resources
56 inventory for sustainable land use planning in Komaram Bheem region of Telangana.

57
58

59 **Methodology**

60

61 **Sampling site and collection**

62 Telangana is situated on the Deccan plateau in the central stretch of the eastern seaboard of the
63 Indian Peninsula. It covers 112,077 square kilometres (43,273 sq. mi). The region is drained by
64 two major rivers, with about 79% of the Godavari River catchment area and about 69% of the
65 Krishna River catchment area, but most of the land is arid. Telangana is also drained by several
66 minor rivers such as the Bhima, the Maner the Manjira the Musi, and the Tungabhadra. Soil
67 samples were collected from 3 different Blocks of Komaram Bheem Asifabad district in

68 Telangana. Four different locations selected from each block. The samples are collected from all
69 4 directions from one village with 0-15cm and 15-30cm depth. Twenty-four Samples are
70 collected with the help of GPS.

71 **Methods**

72 **Analysis of the soil samples were under the methods**, the physical parameters include Soil Texture,
73 Bulk Density, Particle Density, Water Holding Capacity, whereas chemical parameters include pH,
74 Electrical Conductivity, Organic Carbon, Macro-Nutrients (N, P, K) Soil textural class was
75 determined by using Bouyoucos Hydrometer (Bouyoucos, 1927). Bulk density,
76 Particle density, Water holding capacity was determined by using Graduated Measuring
77 Cylinder method (Muthuaval et al., 1992). pH was estimated with the help of Digital pH meter
78 after making 1:2 soil water suspension (Jackson, 1958). Electrical Conductivity was estimated
79 with the help
80 of Digital Conductivity meter (Wilcox, 1950). Percent Organic Carbon was estimated by Wet Oxidation
81 method (Walkley and Black, 1947). Available Nitrogen was estimated by Alkaline Potassium
82 Permanganate method, using Kjeldahl apparatus (Subbiah and Asija, 1956),
83 Available Phosphorus was estimated by Olsen's extraction followed by Spectrophotometric
84 method (Olsen et al., 1954), available Potassium was estimated by Neutral normal Ammonium
85 Acetate extraction followed by Flame photometric method (Toth and Prince, 1949).

87 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

89 **Physico-chemical Characteristics**

90 The Soil Textural classes identified as Sandy clay loam. The sand, silt and clay percentage varied
91 from 46.56 to 60.56 sand, 12.36 to 16.36 silt and 25.08 to 37.72 clay. Bulk Density was varied
92 from the 1.32 Mg m⁻³ to 1.48 Mg m⁻³ and the highest Bulk Density was found in Shivapur V₂ (1.48
93 Mg m⁻³) from Sirpur (t) Block. The Particle Density varied from 2.31 Mg m⁻³ to 2.45 Mg m⁻³ and
94 the highest Particle Density was found in Vempelli V₃ (2.45 Mg m⁻³) from the Kaghaznagar Block.
95 The Pore Space (%) ranged from 43.22% to 49.16%. The highest Pore Space % was found at
96 Tonkini V₃ (49.16%) from the Sirpur (t) Block. The Water Holding Capacity (%) ranged from
97 38.24 to 45.84% and the highest Water Holding Capacity was found at Tonkini V₃ from Sirpur
98 (t) Block. The pH value ranged from 7.25 to 8.92 and the highest value was recorded at Vempelli
99 V₃ (pH 8.92) from the Kaghaznagar Block. The Electrical Conductivity ranged from (0.18 to
100 0.48 dS m⁻¹) and the highest value was recorded at Tonkini V₃ (0.48 dS m⁻¹) from the Sirpur
101 (t) Block and the soil was found to be normal. The value of total Organic Carbon (%) varied from

102 0.33 to 0.48% and the range of organic carbon content was found low to medium. The Available
103 Nitrogen content of soil ranged from 200 to 220 kg ha⁻¹ and Nitrogen content was low in all
104 villages. The Available Phosphorus content of soil ranged from 16.24 to 26.58 kg ha⁻¹. The
105 phosphorus content was found medium to high. Available Potassium content of soil ranged from
106 225.24 to 277.51 kg ha⁻¹. The potassium content was found Medium in range in all the
107 villages. Exchangeable Calcium content of soil ranged from 4.02 to 5.45 [meq 100g⁻¹] with the
108 highest value recorded at Tonkini V₃ (5.45) [meq 100g⁻¹] from the Sirpur (t) Block. Exchangeable
109 Magnesium content of soil ranged from 1.92 to 2.76 [meq 100g⁻¹] with the highest value
110 recorded at Charigaon V₄ (2.76) [meq 100g⁻¹] from the Kaghaznagar Block. Calcium and
111 Magnesium are very sufficient in this soil.

112

113 CONCLUSION

114

115 The results of experiment are concluded as soil colour, soil texture, bulk density, percent pore
116 space, water holding capacity and specific gravity of soil of Komaram Bheem Asifabad Block was
117 found significantly good for plant growth. The soils of Komaram Bheem Asifabad District were
118 found alkaline in nature which is suitable for crop growth. The percent Organic Carbon, N, P, K,
119 content of the soil significantly varied from Low to Medium. Calcium and Magnesium are
120 sufficient in the soil.

121

122

123 REFERENCE

124

125 **Anonymous (1971).** Munsell colour chart. Munsell colour company inc. 2241 N. Calver Street,
126 Baltimore, Maryland 21212, USA.

127 **Black, C.A., (1965).** Methods of Soil Analysis Part – II. Chemical and microbiological
128 properties. Agronomy Monograph No. 9. American Society of Agronomy, Inc. Madison,
129 Wisconsin, USA, 18-25.

130 **Bouyoucos, G.J. (1927)** The hydrometer as a new method for the mechanical analysis of soils.
131 *Soil Science*, **23**(5):343-357.

132 **Cheng, K.L. and Bray, R.H. (1951).** Determination of Calcium and Magnesium in soil and
133 plant material. *Soil Sci.*, **72**: 449-458.

134 **Jackson, M.L. (1958)** Soil Chemical Analysis: Advanced Course. Madison, WI: University of
135 Wisconsin Press.

136 **Jackson, M.L.(1985)**Soil ChemicalAnalysis -
137 AdvancedCourse(2nded.).*Madison,WI:Universityof Wisconsin Press.*

138 **Lal,R.(2011)**Soil degradationasareasonforinadequatehumannutrition.*FoodSecurity,3(2):137-*
139 *147.*

140 **Meena,H.M.,Swapnil,R.andMeena,M.(2018)**Soiltesting:Atoolforquickcharacterization of
141 soil fertility status. *International Journal of Current Microbiology andAppliedSciences,*
142 *7(10):784-792.*

143 **Munsell, A. H. (1971)** Munsell's description of his colour system, from a lecture to
144 theAmericanPsychologicalAssociation. *AmericanJournalofPsychology23(2):236-244*

145 **Muthuvel, S., Subramanian, S.K. and Govindasamy, R. (1992)** Water holding
146 capacity,bulk density and particle density of soils of the Western Ghats of Tamil Nadu.
147 *Journal of theIndianSocietyof Soil Science, 40(1): 122-124.*

148 **Olsen. S.R., Cole. C.V., Watanabe. F.S. and Dean. L.A. (1954)** Estimation of
149 AvailablePhosphorusinSoilsbyExtractionwithSodiumBicarbonate.*U.S.DepartmentofAgricul-
150 re,CircularNo.939: 1-19.*

151 **Rajamani, K., Hari, N. and Rajashekar, M. (2020).** Soil Fertility Evaluation and GPS-GIS
152 Based Soil Nutrient Mapping of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Palem, Telangana, *International
153 Research Journal of Pure & Applied Chemistry 21(23): 139-145, ISSN: 2231-3443.*

154 **Sathyanarayana, E., Padmaja, G., Saranya, S., Bharghavi, J., Santhosh Kumar, M.,
155 Rajashekhar, M., Veeranna, J. and Kumari Sunita (2021).** Soil fertility status of soybean
156 growing soils of Adilabad district, Telangana. *The Pharma Innovation Journal; SP-10(10):
157 1112-1120.*

158 **Shivanna, A.M. and Nagendrappa, G. (2014).** Chemical Analysis of Soil Samples to
159 Evaluate the Soil Fertility Status of Selected Command Areas of Three Tanks in Tiptur Taluk
160 of Karnataka, India, *IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry e-ISSN: 2278-5736. Volume 7,
161 Issue 11 Ver. I. PP 01-05.*

162 **Smith,M.A.andMullins,G.L.(2015)**Soiltestingandplantanalysisfornutrientavailability:Areview
163 *w. Journal ofPlant Nutrition, 38(9):1270-1285.*

164 **Subbiah. B. V. and Asija. G. L. (1956)** A rapid procedure for the determination of
165 availablenitrogen in soils. *Current Science, 25: 259-260.*

166 **Tewari, R. K., Shukla, A. K., Singh, S.
167 K.,andSingh,A.K.(2016)**Soilorganicmatteranditsroleincropproduction:areview.*JournalofApp-
168 lied andNatural Science, 8(4): 2424-2434.*

169 **Tewari,R.,Dahiya,I.**
 170 **andSingh,S.(2016)**SoilandlandusemappingusingremotesensingandGISforsustainablelandman
 171 agementinHaryana.*JournaloftheIndianSocietyofRemote*
 172 *Sensing*,**44**(1):129-140.
 173 **Toth, S.J. and Prince A.L. (1949)** Estimation of cation exchange capacity and
 174 exchangeableCa,Kand Nacontent of soil byflame photometer technique.*SoilScience*,**67**:439-
 175 445.
 176 **Walkley, A. (1947)** Critical examination of rapid method for determining organic carbon
 177 insoils,effectofvariationindigestionconditionsandofinorganicsoilconstituents,*SoilScience*,**632**:
 178 251.
 179 **Wilcox,L.V.(1950)**Electricalconductivity,*AmericanWaterWorksAssociationJournal*,**42**:775-
 180 776.

181
 182
 183
 184
 185

Table 1: Bulk density and Particle density of Soils of KomaramBheemAsifabadDistrict

Blocks	Villages	Bulk Density (Mg m ⁻³)		Particle Density (Mg m ⁻³)	
		0-15cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm
Kaghaznagar(B1)	Kosini (V ₁)	1.35	1.38	2.31	2.42
	Regulaguda (V ₂)	1.43	1.45	2.35	2.41
	Vempelli (V ₃)	1.35	1.40	2.38	2.45
	Charigaon(V ₄)	1.32	1.38	2.32	2.40
Sirpur (t)(B2)	Rudraram(V ₁)	1.36	1.38	2.35	2.41
	Shivapur (V ₂)	1.45	1.48	2.37	2.43
	Tonkini (V ₃)	1.42	1.44	2.34	2.42
	Parigaon (V ₄)	1.34	1.38	2.38	2.44
Kouthala(B3)	Talodi (V ₁)	1.38	1.42	2.35	2.41

	Kanki (V ₂)	1.33	1.37	2.37	2.43
	Chandaram (V ₃)	1.43	1.45	2.33	2.42
	Muthampet (V ₄)	1.36	1.40	2.34	2.40
	F-Test	S	S	NS	NS
	S. Em. ±	0.021338	0.021338	0.034396	0.034396
	C.D @5%	0.06228	0.06228	-	-

186

187

188

Table 2: Percent Pore Space (%) and Water Holding Capacity of Soils of Komaram Bheem Asifabad District

Blocks	Villages	Pore Space (%)		Water Holding Capacity (%)	
		0-15cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm
Kaghaznagar(B1)	Kosini (V ₁)	45.75	43.58	40.21	38.59
	Regulaguda (V ₂)	47.73	45.67	42.25	40.41
	Vempelli (V ₃)	46.55	44.57	40.64	38.24
	Charigaon(V ₄)	47.15	45.35	43.42	40.48
	Rudraram(V ₁)	48.45	46.65	43.08	40.81
Sirpur (t)(B2)	Shivapur (V ₂)	46.95	43.49	42.25	40.13
	Tonkini (V ₃)	49.16	46.94	45.84	43.25
	Parigaon (V ₄)	48.25	45.46	44.32	41.89
	Talodi (V ₁)	47.28	45.33	43.52	40.49
Kouthala(B3)	Kanki (V ₂)	47.66	45.60	43.21	40.66
	Chandaram (V ₃)	45.92	43.22	40.92	38.65
	Muthampet (V ₄)	48.86	46.84	42.25	40.25
	F-Test	S	S	S	S
	S. Em. ±	0.576390	0.576390	0.692018	0.692018

C.D @5%	1.68236	1.68236	2.019858	2.019858
----------------	---------	---------	----------	----------

189
190
191
192
193
194
195

Table 3: Soil pH, EC (dS m⁻¹) and Percent Organic Carbon (%) of soils of KomaramBheem, Asifabad District

Blocks	Villages	pH		EC (dS m ⁻¹)		OC (%)	
		0-15cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm
Kaghaznagar(B1)	Kosini (V ₁)	8.18	8.25	0.32	0.36	0.48	0.45
	Regulaguda (V ₂)	7.45	7.50	0.31	0.35	0.46	0.40
	Vempelli (V ₃)	8.85	8.92	0.28	0.33	0.47	0.41
	Charigaon(V ₄)	7.34	7.61	0.38	0.41	0.48	0.46
Sirpur (t)(B2)	Rudraram(V ₁)	8.24	8.42	0.18	0.23	0.41	0.35
	Shivapur (V ₂)	7.25	7.65	0.38	0.42	0.37	0.33
	Tonkini (V ₃)	8.33	8.41	0.43	0.48	0.46	0.43
	Parigaon (V ₄)	7.45	7.54	0.42	0.45	0.35	0.34
Kouthala(B3)	Talodi (V ₁)	8.25	8.51	0.35	0.42	0.47	0.43
	Kanki (V ₂)	7.63	7.86	0.31	0.37	0.46	0.42
	Chandaram (V ₃)	8.25	8.89	0.33	0.37	0.42	0.40
	Muthampet (V ₄)	7.81	7.98	0.35	0.41	0.44	0.41
	F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S
	S. Em. ±	0.136	0.136	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.006
	C.D @5%	0.395	0.395	0.015	0.015	0.0196	0.0196

196
197
198
199
200
201
202

203 **Table 4:** Available Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Available Potassium (Kg ha⁻¹) of soils of
 204 KomaramBheemAsifabad District
 205

Blocks	Villages	Nitrogen (Kg ha ⁻¹)		Phosphorous (Kg ha ⁻¹)		Potassium (Kg ha ⁻¹)	
		0-15cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm
Kaghaznagar(B1)	Kosini (V ₁)	215.00	210.00	21.55	18.65	246.54	225.24
	Regulaguda (V ₂)	217.00	212.00	26.58	22.12	256.45	241.18
	Vempelli (V ₃)	220.00	214.00	22.19	16.38	235.50	227.32
	Charigaon(V ₄)	218.00	215.00	24.58	21.21	266.01	249.91
Sirpur (t)(B2)	Rudraram(V ₁)	215.00	208.00	25.32	23.64	268.1	251.19
	Shivapur (V ₂)	217.00	212.00	20.57	16.24	244.75	232.51
	Tonkini (V ₃)	214.00	206.00	24.68	21.12	263.11	251.42
	Parigaon (V ₄)	212.00	205.00	25.12	22.67	271.56	266.78
Kouthala(B3)	Talodi (V ₁)	215.00	211.00	23.35	20.17	235.75	227.32
	Kanki (V ₂)	216.00	208.00	25.14	22.64	254.36	236.59
	Chandaram (V ₃)	206.00	200.00	22.33	19.26	265.41	257.51
	Muthampet (V ₄)	210.00	205.00	21.84	18.45	277.51	269.23
	F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S
	S. Em. ±	3.897	3.897	0.364	0.364	4.07	4.07
	C.D @5%	11.8	11.8	1.06	1.06	11.890	11.890

206
 207
 208
 209
 210

211 **Table 5:**Exchangeable Calcium and Magnesium [meq 100g⁻¹]of soils of KomaramBheem,
 212 Asifabad District

213

214

Blocks	Villages	Exchangeable calcium [meq 100g ⁻¹]		Exchangeable Magnesium [meq 100g ⁻¹]	
		0-15cm	15-30cm	0-15cm	15-30cm
Kaghaznagar(B1)	Kosini (V ₁)	4.82	4.63	2.68	2.42
	Regulaguda (V ₂)	4.25	4.03	2.73	2.55
	Vempelli (V ₃)	4.15	4.02	2.64	2.45
	Charigaon(V ₄)	4.67	4.38	2.76	2.54
Sirpur (t)(B2)	Rudraram(V ₁)	5.38	5.14	2.68	2.47
	Shivapur (V ₂)	4.46	4.39	2.37	2.18
	Tonkini (V ₃)	5.45	5.13	2.25	2.15
	Parigaon (V ₄)	5.19	4.94	2.28	2.19
Kouthala(B3)	Talodi (V ₁)	5.04	4.85	2.15	2.06
	Kanki (V ₂)	4.85	4.74	2.03	1.92
	Chandaram (V ₃)	4.66	4.37	2.10	1.98
	Muthampet (V ₄)	4.95	4.84	2.14	2.05
	F-Test	S	S	S	S
	S. Em. ±	0.0677	0.0677	0.0373	0.0373
	C.D @5%	0.1976	0.1976	0.1089	0.1089

215