

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101406
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL FROM DIFFERENT BLOCKS OF KOMARAM BHEEM, ASIFABAD DISTRICT, TELANGANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The manuscript investigated soil properties from selected locations in the study area. This is a classical report. It provides information about soil properties with limited insights into why and what are the reasons behind the results.</p> <p>2- Need to add the Country to the Title (where the study took place)</p> <p>3- No, the Abstract is not comprehensive. The Abstract needs to be rewritten.</p> <p>4- The methodology section needs to be improved.</p> <p>5- The methods followed in collecting the data and the analysis are not clear.</p> <p>6- The references are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The paper needs to be edited by a Professional English language editor.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Abstract: Needs to be rewritten. Explain the method and the procedure followed. The methods followed in collecting the data, and the analysis are not clear. Add a Google map for the study location. Add pictures of the soil samples. Provide a relation between the data obtained and the optimum nutrients.</p> <p>Lines 9-10 page 1: Rewrite the first sentence in the Abstract</p> <p>Lines 13-14 page 1: This is not a scientific term : was found good"</p> <p>Line 17: what do you mean by "all Villages"?</p> <p>Lines 44-54 page 2 : rewrite this part.</p> <p>Line 70- 82 page 3: describe in detail the procedure followed in conducting the experiments.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ikrema Hassan
Department, University & Country	University of New Brunswick, Canada