

Original Research Article

Effects of levels of N, P, K on yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under meadow system of planting

ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled “Effect of different levels of N, P, K on yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under meadow system of planting” was carried out at central research field, Department of horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute (NAI) SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P. India during the session 2022. The experiment was conducted to study the different levels of N, P, K on yield and quality of guava and to find out the optimum dose of NPK for guava under meadow system of planting. The experiment was conducted on eight years old Allahabad safeda guava trees planted at 2x1 m spacing. There were eight treatments of different levels of NPK viz. (T₀) control, (T₁) 100:50:50 g NPK/tree, (T₂) 150:75:75 g NPK/tree, (T₃) 200:100:100 g NPK/tree, (T₄) 250:125:125 g NPK/tree, (T₅) 300:150:150 g NPK/tree (T₆) 350:175:175 g NPK/tree, (T₇) 400:200:200 g NPK/tree in randomized block design replicated thrice. The N, P, K was applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash respectively. All the cultural operation were carried out as per recommendations. The observation on yield and quality aspects of guava cultivation under different treatments were recorded, analyzed and presented.

Keywords: meadow orcharding, N, P, K, quality, yield.

Introduction

Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.), the apple of the tropics, is one of the most popular fruits grown in tropical, sub-tropical and some parts of arid regions of India. The fruit are quite hardy and prolific bearer belongs to the family Myrtaceae. . It is the fifth most important fruit in area after mango, citrus, banana, and apple and fifth most important fruit in production after banana, mango, citrus and papaya

This fruit originated in tropical America and seems to have been growing from Mexico to Peru. The trees were domesticated more than 2000 years ago. It was spreaded rapidly through the worlds' tropics by Spanish and Portuguese soon after the discovery of the new world. Now it is cultivated in tropical and subtropical parts of several countries like India, Hawaii, Brazil, Mexico, Thailand, New Zealand, Philippines, Indonesia, China, Malaysia, Cuba, Sri Lanka, Venezuela, Australia, Burma, Myanmar, Israel, Pakistan and Bangladesh. India is the leading producer of guava in the world.

The major guava producing states of India are Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Bihar, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Karnataka, Punjab, and Orissa. Uttar Pradesh produces best quality guava, and Allahabad has the distinct reputation for growing the best guava in the country as well as in the world.

Meadow orchard system is a new concept of planting which has been developed for the first time in India at Central institute for Subtropical Horticulture, Lucknow. The planting is done at 2.0 m (row to row) X 1.0 m (plant to plant), which gives a density of 5000 plants per hectare. Meadow orcharding gives higher yield as well as quality fruit production.

Time of pruning is in May-June. After pruning, shoots emerges and flowering takes place, which yields fruits during December-February. These shoots are further pruned for the third time in September- October. It is done primarily for better canopy architecture. As a result of pruning in October, fruiting is obtained in March-April. This is the technique for maintaining a meadow orchard for

optimum production and dwarf tree size.

The height of plants is restricted to 1.0 m, while an average production of 10-12 kg fruits/ plant is obtained every year. As harvesting is easy in a meadow orchard, no damage occurs to the fruits.

Material And Methods

The present investigation entitled “Effect of different levels of N, P, K on yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) under meadow system of planting” was conducted at the central research farm of Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences Prayagraj during 2021

The methods employed during the course of investigation and materials utilized had great significance in research program. The experiment was carried out in Randomized Block Design with 8 treatment and 3 replications. The experimental trees were applied with 20 kg FYM along with full dose of P_2O_5 and K_2O and half dose of N at the beginning as per the treatment as basal dose and remaining half dose of N as per treatment at fruit set.

The N, P, K was applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash respectively.

Climatic and weather condition:

Allahabad has a humid subtropical climate common to cities in the plains of North India, designated *Cwa* in the *koppen* climate classification. The annual mean temperature is 26.1 °C (79.0 °F); monthly mean temperatures are 18–29 °C (64–84 °F). Allahabad has three seasons: a hot, dry summer, a cool, dry winter and a hot, humid monsoon. Summer lasts from March to September with daily highs reaching up to 48 °C in the dry summer (from March to May) and up to 40 °C in the hot and extremely humid monsoon season (from June to September). The monsoon begins in June, and lasts till August; high humidity levels prevail well into September. Allahabad never receives snow, but experiences dense winter fog due to numerous wood fires, coal fires, and open burning of rubbish—resulting in substantial traffic and travel delays, but the city does not receive snow Its highest recorded temperature is 48 °C (118.4 °F), and its lowest is –2 °C (28 °F).

TREATMENT DETAILS –

Notation	Treatments
T ₀	Control
T ₁	100:50:50 g NPK/tree
T ₂	150:75:75 g NPK/tree
T ₃	200:100:100 g NPK/tree
T ₄	250:125:125 g NPK/tree
T ₅	300:150:150 g NPK/tree
T ₆	350:175:175 g NPK/tree
T ₇	400:200:200 g NPK/tree

Table1 - Treatment Combination

Result and discussion

Yield Parameters

The data regarding effects of different levels of NPK on yield parameters showed that, there is significant differences with regards to fruit volume (cm³), fruit weight(g), fruit diameter (cm), number of fruits per branch, number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant (kg). The data shown in table 2.

Fruit volume (cm³)

The maximum fruit volume was recorded in the treatment T₇

(400:200:200 g NPK/tree) with (61.53) cm³, followed by treatment T₆ (350:175:175 g NPK/tree) with (60.88) cm³ which were significantly superior over control T₀ (control) with (56.24) cm³

Fruit weight (g)

The maximum fruit weight was recorded in the treatment T₇ (400:200:200 g NPK/tree) with (61.53) g, followed by treatment T₆ (350:175:175 g NPK/tree) with (60.88) g which were significantly superior over control T₀ (control) with (56.24) g.

Fruit diameter (cm)

The maximum fruit diameter was recorded in the treatment T₇ (400:200:200 g NPK/tree) with (6.72) cm, followed by treatment T₆ (350:175:175 g NPK/tree) with (6.30) cm which were significantly superior over control T₀ (control) with (3.13) cm.

Number of fruits per branch

The maximum number of fruits per branch was recorded in the treatment T₇ (400:200:200 g NPK/tree) with (21.67), followed by treatment T₆ (350:175:175 g NPK/tree) with (19.67) which were significantly superior over control T₀ (control) with (13.67).

Number of fruits per plant

The maximum number of fruits per plant was recorded in the treatment T₇ (400:200:200 g NPK/tree) with (322.15), followed by treatment T₆ (350:175:175 g NPK/tree) with (274.28) which were significantly superior over control T₀ (control) with (149.01).

Fruit yield per plant (kg)

The maximum fruit yield per plant was recorded in the treatment T₇ (400:200:200 g NPK/tree) with (55.30) kg, followed by treatment T₆ (350:175:175 g NPK/tree) with (46.25) kg which were significantly superior over control T₀ (control) with (21.69) kg.

Symbol	Treatment	Fruit volume (cm ³)	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit Diameter (cm)	No. of fruits per branch	No. of fruits per plant	Yield/Plant (kg)
T ₀	Control	150.77	56.24	3.13	13.67	149.01	21.69
T ₁	100:50:50 g NPK/tree	153.07	57.32	3.37	14.67	168.41	27.20
T ₂	150:75:75 g NPK/tree	155.93	57.67	3.73	16.67	208.15	34.08
T ₃	200:100:100 g NPK/tree	157.7	58.19	3.97	15.67	202.08	31.92
T ₄	250:125:125 g NPK/tree	158.66	59.65	5.04	17.67	219.01	34.41
T ₅	300:150:150 g NPK/tree	160.59	59.26	5.86	18.67	208.01	34.06
T ₆	350:175:175 g NPK/tree	164.54	60.88	6.30	19.67	274.28	46.25
T ₇	400:200:200 g NPK/tree	165.56	61.53	6.72	21.67	322.15	55.30
	F Test.	S	S	S	S	S	S
	CD	2.521	2.042	0.924	0.782	0.782	5.462
	SE(d)	1.351	1.021	0.461	0.351	0.351	2.651

Table 2. Effects of levels of N, P, K on yield parameters on guava

Quality Parameters

The data regarding effects of different levels of NPK on yield parameters showed that, there is significant differences with regards to TSS (°Brix), total sugars (%), acidity (%), ascorbic

acid (mg/100 pulp). The data shown in table 3.

TSS (°Brix)

The maximum TSS was recorded in the treatment T₇ (400:200:200 g NPK/tree) with (12.17) °Brix, followed by

treatment T₆ (350:175:175 g NPK/tree) with (11.81)⁰Brix which were significantly superior over control T₀ (control) with (10.55)⁰Brix.

Total sugars (%)

The maximum Total sugar was recorded in the treatment T₇ (400:200:200 g NPK/tree) with (8.84) %, followed by treatment T₆ (350:175:175 g NPK/tree) with (8.31) % which were significantly superior over control T₀ (control) with (5.61) %.

Acidity (%),

The minimum acidity was recorded in the treatment T₇ (400:200:200 g

NPK/tree) with (0.205) %, followed by treatment T₅ (300:150:150 g NPK/tree) with (0.220) % which were significantly superior over control T₀ (control) with (2.66) %.

Ascorbic acid (mg/100 pulp)

The maximum Ascorbic acid was recorded in the treatment T₇ (400:200:200 g NPK/tree) with (167.86) mg/100g, followed by treatment T₆ (350:175:175 g NPK/tree) with (166.12) mg/100g which were significantly superior over control T₀ (control) with (154.52) mg/100.

Symbol	Treatment	TSS ⁰ B	Total Sugar	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)	Acidity %
T ₀	Control	10.55	5.61	154.52	0.266
T ₁	100:50:50 g NPK/tree	11.61	5.87	155.89	0.244
T ₂	150:75:75 g NPK/tree	11.05	6.44	160.52	0.233
T ₃	200:100:100 g NPK/tree	11.31	6.92	162.81	0.253
T ₄	250:125:125 g NPK/tree	11.4	7.14	163.62	0.233
T ₅	300:150:150 g NPK/tree	11.51	7.75	164.79	0.220
T ₆	350:175:175 g NPK/tree	11.81	8.31	166.12	0.231
T ₇	400:200:200 g NPK/tree	12.17	8.84	167.86	0.205
	F Test.	S	S	S	S
	CD	1.314	1.052	2.065	0.026
	SE(d)	0.658		0.982	

			0.524		0.012
--	--	--	-------	--	-------

Table 3. Effects of levels of NPK on quality of Guava fruits.

Conclusion

Based on our experiment findings it was concluded that the treatment T₇ (400:200:200 g NPK/tree) was found to be best in terms of TSS (°Brix), Ascorbic acid (mg/100pulpl), Acidity (%), Total sugars (%). It was significantly best in terms of yield parameters also i.e., Fruit volume (ml), Fruit weight (g), Fruit diameter(cm), No. of fruit per branches, No. of fruit per plant, Fruit yield per plant(kg)).

REFERENCES

Anbu, S., Parthiban, S., Suresh, J. and Thangaraj, T., (2001). High density planting and planting system in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.), Changing scenario in the production systems of horticultural crops. Proceedings of a national seminar, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu. *South Indian Horticulture*. **49** Special, 13-15.

Baranwal Dewanshu et.al (2017) Effect of Foliar Application of Zinc and Boron on Fruit Growth, Yield and Quality of Winter Season Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) *International Journal of Current Microbiology*

Applied Sciences. ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 6 Number **9** (2017) pp. 1525-1529.

Bhatia SK, Yadav S, Ahlawat VP, Dahiya SS.(2001) Effect of foliar application of nutrients on the yield and fruit quality of winter season guava cv. L-49. *Haryana Journal Horticulture Science* 2001; **30**(1&2):6-7.

Carpenter S. et.al.,(2018) Effect of foliar spray of gibberellic acid and urea on yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv.-L-49 *IJCS* 2019; **7**(1): 205-207

Goswami, A.M.; Sharma, R.R. and Saxena, S.K. (2001). High density planting in tropical and subtropical fruit crops. *Indian Journal. Horticulture.*; **58**(1/2): 23-27.

Katiyar, P.N.; Singh, J.P. and Singh P.C. (2008). Effect of nutrients and plant growth regulators on physico-chemical parameters and yield of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) fruit cv. Allahabad Safeda. *International Journal. of Agriculture. Sciences*. **5** (1): 173-174.

Kumar Raj and Tiwari JP (2005-06)

Effect of foliar application of zinc and boron on fruit yield and quality of winter season guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Pant Prabhat [Annals of Agri Bio Research](#) **19**(1):105-108

Kumar, S.P., Singh, C., Verma, A.K.

and Jain, B.P. (2013). Effect of potassium, nitrogen and gibberellic acid on yield attributed of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). *Journal. Research. Birsa Agriculture. University.*, 10: 52-55.

Hada, T., Gaur, B., Karma, B. and Syamal, M. (2014). Studies on the effect of foliar application of nutrients and Ga₃ on fruit yield and quality of winter Season Guava.

The Eco Scan Special issue. **6**: 479-483.

Mishra, M.K. (2001). Effect of planting system cum high density on growth, yield, and quality of Sardar guava (*Psidium guajava* L) *M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis submitted to the J.N.K.V.V., Jabalpur.*

Yadav, P.K. (2015). Effect of Urea, Borax and NAA on yield parameters of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) variety L-49 in rainy season. *Program Research.* **2**(2): 195-196.

Yadav, S.; Bhatia, S.K.; Godara, R.K.

and Rana, G.S. (2001). Effect of growth regulators on the yield quality of winter season uava cv. L-49. *Haryana Journal Horticulture Sciences*, **30** (1-2):1-2.