

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102589
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Benefits of Rice Husk Waste: Synthesis and Characterization of Biochar and Nanobiochar for Agricultural and Environmental Sustainability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for scientific community 2. The topic should have been put in this way : “ Synthesis and Characterization of Biochar and Nano-Biochar of Rice Husk Waste for Agricultural and Environmental Sustainability” 3. Yes. The Abstract is Comprehensive 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. The Author should put the manuscript into sections and subsections. For example : 1.0, 2.0, 2.1, 2.1.1 etc 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct 6. The References are sufficient. The Author should include more recent references 7. Figure 1, 2, 3 and 5 is not clear. Scan it correctly and make it bold 8. The References should be the last 9. Put all the figures (more clearer) and Tables after Summary and Conclusion 10. Check all your references and rewrite it the way the author or authors wrote it. E.g. : In Reference No 1, you wrote: “Wong KK, Lee CK, Low KS, Haron MJ. Removal of Cu and Pb by tartaric acid modified rice husk from aqueous solutions. Chemosphere, 2007; 50:2328 “ which is not the way the owner of the work put it. The correct way the author put it is “Lee, C.K., Wong, K.K., Low, K.S. and Haron, M.J. (2003) Removal of Cu and Pb by Tartaric Acid Modified Rice Husk from Aqueous Solutions. Chemosphere, 50, 23-28” . Also, the year the author quote is 2003 not 2007 as you wrote. 11. Kindly rewrite all your references. Year the original author quoted should be immediately after the name or names of the author. For example, Correct format: “Lee, C.K., Wong, K.K., Low, K.S. and Haron, M.J. (2003) Removal of Cu and Pb by Tartaric Acid Modified Rice Husk from Aqueous Solutions. Chemosphere, 50, 23-28. Incorrect format: “Lee, C.K., Wong, K.K., Low, K.S. and Haron, M.J. Removal of Cu and Pb by Tartaric Acid Modified Rice Husk from Aqueous Solutions. 	

Review Form 1.7

	Chemosphere,2003; 50, 23-28. 12. In your keywords, what do you mean by riche nanobiochar. Is it not a mistake? Check it please and put the correct thing	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oladokun Benjamen Niran
Department, University & Country	University of Abuja, Nigeria