

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102585
Title of the Manuscript:	VARIETIAL PERFORMANCE OF SPINACH (SPINACIA OLERACEAE) AT PRAYAGRAJ AGRO-CLIMATIC CONDITION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Food requirement is increasing with the population therefore, the productivity of major food crops is important. Present study is important for the scientific community who are thriving to achieve the targets related to augmentation of food crops.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Title is fine and representing the work.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct and having value to the relevant community. However, needs some corrections mentioned in subsequent review report.</p> <p>6. Good references are provided however; it is suggested to provide more (latest within last 5 years) references covering the area of research addressed in the present manuscript. No reference is provided in the text only list of references is provided. Paper need to review in detail and thoroughly to incorporate the references.</p> <p>Some needed Corrections: Mention the name of country along with name of city. Paper required careful reading and correction. What is the rational of choosing quality parameters such as days to germinate, plant height etc. Author(s) should compare their results with the past research and justify the benefit of current study. Manuscript is well written however, authors need to do above corrections: before acceptance of paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Manuscript should be corrected for English grammar and language. Preferably by taking help from a native speaker.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Saleem
Department, University & Country	Jubail University College, Saudi Arabia