

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102087
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF ZINC AND BORON ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SUNFLOWER
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? The title of the article is not complete. Authors must give the place where the study take place. I suggest the alternative title: INFLUENCE OF ZINC AND BORON ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SUNFLOWER IN INDIA</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract is comprehensive but the authors must give the purpose of the study at beginning. The period time of the experiment is not given. Authors must cite the materials used for experiment.</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? The structure of manuscript is appropriate but not complete. The authors must add References.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? No</p> <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. References are sufficient but they are old. Authors must cite recent references works like 2021,2022 and 2023 years . The authors recent work year is 2019. The references in the text and at the end form are not correct.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The work is very important . In fact, sunflower is a plant with multiples uses. To improve this work, the authors should made a location map of the study area. They should describe in few lines the site coordinates, the climate , the population, the relief and the types of soils encountered.</p> <p>In the materials and methodology part, the authors must give the period of experiment, the interval of treatment. What materials they used to measured the height of plant, the weight, etc? Authors should give all these informations.</p> <p>In the results part, the author must refered to table 1 for the presentation of results.</p> <p>The references must be corrected conformly to the International Journal of Environment and Climate Change authors guides. The authors can see the remarks in the text./</p> <p>I have not seen one formulae in the materials and methodology test.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soro Tanina Drissa
Department, University & Country	University Jean Lorougnon Guede, Côte d'Ivoire