

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_101327
Title of the Manuscript:	Feed Resources Potential, Nutritional Quality and digestibility of Major Feed Stuffs in the three agro-ecological zone of mixed farming system in Arsi zone, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The design idea is certainly interesting, but its repeatability in other parts of the world needs to be verified</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. No. Starting from the subsection of the discussion, especially after paragraph 4.3 there are errors in numbering. For example 4.3 appears twice, then instead of 4.5 is written 3.5, and the error continues also for the conclusion and cascade</p> <p>5. Needs more revision, missing information</p> <p>6. yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - revise English - revise the formatting of both the text and the bibliography - there is a lack of information on the feed resources listed only in Table 3 for crop residues - there is a lack of information on the temporary storage of the samples collected, but it is not sufficient; further information is needed; - table 1 is not clear - the analysis reference for crude proteins is not mentioned in the material and methods - give more information about the rainy season (for example, mm of rain, etc.) -table 2 and 3 missing dry matter data - revise formatting notes table 4 - in the conclusions, moisture stress is mentioned, but this stress has not been dealt with either in the material methods or in the results - revise formatting reference 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fiorella Sarubbi
Department, University & Country	Institute for the Animal Production System, Italy