

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_102549
Title of the Manuscript:	A cohort study and an audit project on vitamin D prescribing practices for children in pediatric clinics at a tertiary hospital in Jordan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is important, however there is no enough justification for the study. Improve on the dearth in information from previous studies and researches.</p> <p>The "an audit project" should be out of the title. The "cohort" might also be removed</p> <p>More information should be included in the results section of the abstract</p> <p>The methods section should include a brief description of the study area, population and other relevant information. NO</p> <p>References later than 10 years before the research is conducted is obsolete</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	NO	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The construction of the statement is grammatically incorrect .</p> <p>"Data collection was done prospectively by taking a relevant history about the baby (gestational age when delivered , developmental age , type of feeding , amount of feeding , past medical history , drug history , allergic history , social history), and if vitamin D was prescribed from mothers of a children aged from six months to 3 years come to the hospital pediatrics clinics on a duration of 5 weeks".</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omosho Temitope
Department, University & Country	University of Ibadan, Nigeria