

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96867
Title of the Manuscript:	The Ameliorative effect of Honey on Lead (Pb) – Induced Nephrotoxicity in male wistar rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. It seeks to proffer solutions. 2. The title is suitable 3. The abstract is comprehensive. 4. The subsections are appropriate 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct 6. The references are sufficient but some are outdated (1971,1986,2001----2008). 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Abstract: Line3:* investigates:* It should be investigated Line 11: *using ketamine cardiac puncture* should be replaced with *using ketamine. Cardiac puncture* Line 12 &13**A significant (p<0.05) elevation in the serum potassium, uric acid, urea and creatinine was observed for the lead (Pb) treated group compared to the control** should be replaced with *Significant (p<0.05) elevations in the serum potassium, uric acid, urea and creatinine were observed for the lead (Pb) treated group compared to the control* Line 14 to 16: *However, there was a significant (p<0.05) decrease in the serum levels of sodium, potassium, uric acid, urea and creatinine in the honey treated group and the honey + lead (Pb) group when compared with the lead (Pb) treated group*. It should be corrected to read * However, there were significant (p<0.05) decrease in the serum levels of sodium, potassium, uric acid, urea and creatinine in the groups treated with honey alone and honey + lead (Pb) when compared with the lead (Pb) treated group* Line 21&22; However, lead (Pb²⁺) toxicity seems to competitively inhibits the renal Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase. What makes you think so? Introduction: 2nd paragraph Line 1&2 *some chelating agent* should be**some chelating agents * 3rd paragraph Line 6: *this study sought* could be replaced with * this study was carried out* References: The full names of the cited journals should be well written (not abbreviations) e,g <i>Clin J Am Soc Nephrology</i>. Please check the highlighted ones and all the cited journals must be in italic</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please he should go over his English again to correct the grammatical errors.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Idorenyin Umoh
Department, University & Country	University of Uyo, Nigeria