

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_102339
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Validation of an Instructional Module in Science, Technology, and Society (STS) Utilized through Virtual Learning Portal
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is my pleasure while reviewing this article. I have the following concerns on the article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Too general, if possible better to add "tertiary education curricula" 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? It is generally validation of tertiary education curricula, therefore, it can input for other developing countries. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? Yes, but few in number. Better if added more. <p>Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Would you reason out why the abstract is in table? Better, if non- tabular 2. In methodology: the sentence "The developed instructional module on STS was utilized by 35 BSEd students consisting of eight males and 22 females." Shows number disparity, is there reason for this? 3. The way of preparing the manuscript writing is too vast. It better to be specific to the title and objective. 4. Recent and updated references should be provided 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Language and grammar should be edited</p> <p>Check typos and spellings</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Stated in above</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elias Ezo
Department, University & Country	Wachemo University, Ethiopia