

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_101127
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Parkia biglobosa (Jacq.) R. Br. Ex G. Don on the herbaceous vegetation in the Katouré valley of the commune of Nyassia (Basse Casamance-Senegal)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes it is important Yes Yes clearly indicated Yes Yes but reference usage in all the content of the manuscript is not correct , reference usage is in ascending order which give doubtful Sufficient but usage may be fitted in where ever possible Page number 13 of the manuscript , authors names mentioned why	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Check and edit the language	
Optional/General comments	Authors advice to fit the reference where ever possible not in ascending order	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Pandiyan
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India