

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Dental Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJDS_99026 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | MAGNIFICATION IN PEDIATRIC DENTISTRY : A LITERATURE REVIEW |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>It is a good summarization of knowledge in microscope however the title did not reflect the content of this article. Main content focus on the magnification in endodontics and general use not focusing to pediatric dentistry only.</p> <p>Recommended to change the title to Magnification In Dentistry: Literature Review</p> <p>The content seems appropriate however as a literature review it is expected to have longer list of references. Suggested to add some more</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Language is ok</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The format of this article seems not appropriate to be named as literature review. The depth of the discussion not significant and more information can be add in under microscope in endodontics.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Zeti Adura Che Ab Aziz |
| Department, University & Country | University Malaya, Malaysia |