

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_101014
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Molecular Detection of Mec-A Gene in Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Colonizing Anterior Nares of School Children in Jos South
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES – BUT NIGERIA SHOULD BE ADDED AT THE END TO BE KNOWN TO INTERNATIONAL READERS</p> <p>YES</p> <p>NEED CHANGE AS THE SUB SECTION NUMBERS ARE NOR NEEDED.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES, BUT NEED RECENT REFERENCES AFTER 2020</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>LINE 6, ADDITION OF NIGERIA</p> <p>LINE 58, CHANGE S.Aureus to S.aureus (spp.starts with small letter)</p> <p>73,75,81,101,107,111,112,130,140,143,170,196,197,203,213,218,231,244, - sub section numbers are not needed like in thesis and dissertation</p> <p>LINE 81 TO 99 NOT NEEDED</p> <p>LINE 101-109 NOT NEEDED</p> <p>LINE 114 Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method – NEED REFERENCE</p> <p>LINE 120 TO 121 RED MARKED SCENTENCE NOT NEEDED.</p> <p>LINE 132 REFERENCE NEEDED</p> <p>LINE 143 DNA extraction, REFERENCE NEEDED</p> <p>LINE 170 DNA amplification of mec A genes REFERENCE NEEDED</p> <p>LINE 187 Agarose gel electrophoresis REFERENCE NEEDED</p> <p>LINE 217 Coagulase positive and negative S. aureus aong the isolates. THIS NOMENCLATURE IS WRONG . ALL COAGULASE POSITIVE STAPHYLOCOCCI ARE CALLED AS STAPH.AUREUS AND ALL ALL COAGULASE NEGATIVE STAPHYLOCOCI ARE CALLED AS CoNs</p> <p>Line282,283- This implies that the antibiotics could be useful in the MRSA infections- the meaning is not clear</p> <p>LINE 285 TO 288: CONSENT – IS NECESSARY AS DEALING WITH CHILDREN</p> <p>ETHICAL APPROVAL FOROM INSTITUTIONAL ETHICS COMMITTEE IS NECESSARY AS THE PROCESS IS A SEMI INVASIVE PROCEDURE</p> <p>LINE 289 TO 383 ; References. All are very old. Should ass 4-5 New references which are after 2020.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bandaru Narasinga Rao
Department, University & Country	Gayatri Vidya Parishad Institute of Health Care and Medical Technology, Dr.Ysr University of Health Sciences, India