

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_102242
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints confronted in online education during COVID-19 pandemic in Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is not up to the highest expectations of the scientific community. But some inference has been made. The manuscript lacks secondary data in the form of literature review, the methodology adopted is not clear and gap analysis is vague. The conclusion is not itself conclusive.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract gives information on what the manuscript is all about. But it needs refinement.</p> <p>Subsections are ok.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct but theoretically its average.</p> <p>yes</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammatical mistakes (highlighted) which needs to be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Ajit
Department, University & Country	St. Joseph's College of Engineering, India