

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_101944
Title of the Manuscript:	RISK PERCEPTION AND DRIVERS' CHARACTERISTIC AMONG HAULAGE COMPANIES IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Major Revisions Required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract: The abstract should provide a concise summary of the study, including the objective, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. Currently, the abstract does not adequately summarize the research and its outcomes. Revise the abstract to include all essential elements. Introduction: The introduction should provide background information on the problem, present relevant literature, and clearly state the research objectives. Additionally, it is important to provide proper citations for all referenced studies Methodology: Provide a detailed description of the study area, including information on the selection of haulage companies and the rationale behind the sample size determination. Clarify the questionnaire design and administration process. Mention ethical considerations, if any. Results: The results section should present the findings of the study in a clear and organized manner. Use appropriate tables, figures, and descriptive statistics to present the data. Currently, the results section lacks clarity and organization. Discussion: The discussion section should interpret the findings in light of the research objectives and relevant literature. Compare the results with previous studies and discuss the implications of the findings. Additionally, provide suggestions for future research or practical interventions. Conclusion: The conclusion should summarize the main findings of the study and their implications. Avoid introducing new information or repeating what has already been discussed in the paper. References: Ensure that all references are properly cited within the text and listed in a consistent and accurate format. Remove any citations that are not directly related to the study. Language and Grammar: The paper contains numerous grammatical errors, awkward phrasing, and unclear sentences. Carefully proofread the entire article for language and grammar issues. Consider seeking assistance from a professional editor, if necessary. Overall Structure and Flow: The paper lacks a clear and logical structure, making it difficult to follow the author's arguments. Revise the paper to ensure a smooth flow of ideas and a coherent structure. <p>It is essential to address these major revisions to improve the quality and integrity of the research article. Paying attention to proper citations, original writing, and overall clarity will significantly enhance the paper's credibility.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sameer Jain
Department, University & Country	Nicmar Business School, Nicmar University, India