

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_101319
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating Healthcare Regulation in Canada: Ensuring Quality and Accessibility
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it serves to inform how health care regulatory bodies operate in developed countries and lessons to be learnt.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. Addressing comments made would improve this quality.</p> <p>Yes. Use one style of listing references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The Canada Health Act: indicate year when enacted. It is 1984, see V.</p> <p>2. the Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act: indicate year when enacted.</p> <p>3. Are there recorded cases of patient information privacy breach? If yes, what are the efforts put in place to safeguard clients' information in the digital era??</p> <p>4. If time allows, could the author(s) present the practicability of these regulations by highlighting challenges and suggestions to resolve them?</p> <p>5. What happens if a citizen fails to pay for care services?</p> <p>6. Follow one and journal accepted style of listing references.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Switbert Rwechungura Kamazima
Department, University & Country	Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Tanzania