

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_101741
Title of the Manuscript:	The eminence of the Langat Basin aquifer based on geological and hydrogeological characterization of three urban areas of Malaysia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, This paper try to Find out the hydrogeological settings and aquifer properties of Kajang, Bangi, and Semenyih urban areas to overcome the mismanagement of groundwater is the main objective of this study. 2. Yes 3. No, The abstract needs to be streamlined, the purpose, method and innovation of the article 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. References require some articles from the last three years, Especially the highly cited.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article needs to be polished and the grammar improved	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liming Sun
Department, University & Country	China Institute of Water Resources and Hydropower Research, China