

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_101678
Title of the Manuscript:	Stakeholder's Domestic Water Harvesting Infrastructure: Resultant Conflicts and Management Strategies in the Upper Noun Valley, North West Region of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Peer review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES YES YES YES NOT ALL NB</p> <p>#1. The comments highlighted in green is what the reviewer's wants the authors to do. #2. The portions of the paper highlighted red is the reviewer's observations #3. The portions of the paper highlighted yellow, these portions should be deleted #4. The authors did not explain all their acronyms used in the paper. #5. Authors should take step to do the corrections suggested in the highlighted portions #6. All the subsections should be bolded #7. The very old references should be changed #8. The references highlighted red do not have the correct years of publication #9. The references highlighted yellow do not exists</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>THE PAPER IS GOOD, BUT AUTHORS SHOULD CHECK THEIR FORMATTING. 2. I suggest the subsections and the subsections should be numbered.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Winfred Adjardjah
Department, University & Country	Takoradi Technical University, Ghana