

Editor's Comment:

I have reviewed the information submitted regarding Work

titled "EVALUATION OF THE COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS OF RICE HUSK AND COCONUT SHELL BRIQUETTES". The article is interesting with scientific quality. The referees make some points that, although they do not warrant profound changes, it is convenient for the authors to consider them. However, the first and second versions of the article are identical. The authors in the review sheets of the referees put "noted, Ok, Revised, done", etc. However, the first and second versions are identical, and only some sentences are highlighted by the authors in yellow.

The authors should take into account more seriously the comments of the referees and make changes such as improvements in the quality of Fig. 1 and properly put the letters a), b), c) to the left of the corresponding comments in Fig. 2. Also, comment more on how the study contributes to increasing knowledge on the subject of other alternative charcoals to wood. Also, add at least one more reference of the years 2020-2022.

Once the above is done, which is relatively simple, the authors must send a third version indicating the improvements in yellow.

Editor's Details:

Dr. David Armando Contreras-Solorio
Professor, Autonomous University of Zacatecas, Mexico.