

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_100843
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Job Burnout and Its Impact on Quality and Economic Benefits of Enterprises
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript has deliberated on the concept of job burnout, the factors influencing and the consequences of job burnout as well as the impact on economic benefits of quality and the proposed intervention measures. This manuscript can be further improved if the authors can provide an overview and current issues surrounding job burnout in China and the implementation of the "high-quality strategy" by the Chinese government.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive covering the main points of job burnout in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and in a logical sequence.</p> <p>5. The manuscript clearly explains the logical flow of job burnout and is easy to understand.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient. Need to have more recent references on post-COVID-19 articles to better grasp the importance to curb job burnout and whether job burnout is prevalent now.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Language must be improve</p> <p>2. Check punctuation</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Recommend using Grammarly software. Noted that are typo errors and using Grammarly can further improve the quality of this manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rashidah Mohamad Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia