

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JAMMR_101588</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>PREVALENCE AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS OF SARS-COV-2 AMONG STUDENTS IN PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This paper is very important topic, in public health. But because of WHO ended emergency for COVID-19, the authors don't have to write on this issue no more.</li> <li>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</li> <li>3. Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive.</li> <li>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</li> <li>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. References are suitable.</li> </ol> <p><b>The author don't have ask peoples' religion. That's not ethical. Also data collection date is not clear.</b></p> |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | The language/English is quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | <b>The author don't have ask peoples' religion. That's not ethical. Also data collection date is not clear. Author must add the data avability section in manuscript.</b>  |  |

### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Mehmet Uçar</b>                      |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Muş Alparslan University, Turkey</b> |