

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101722
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Phosphorus and Micronutrients on Yield and Economics of Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.- Yes.</p> <p>2.- Yes.</p> <p>3.- Yes.</p> <p>4.- The structure is correct. The titles are correct. Introduction, materials and methods, results and conclusions. As a tip, the indentation is too small.</p> <p>5.- Yes, it has the correct structure. The titles should be unified, references do not coincide with the rest of the titles.</p> <p>6.- The references are scarce. Better these references about 50. There are only 7. It is advised that references should not be older than 8-10 years. Except one or two if their use is extremely important. It is suggested to do a literature review of studies published in the last 10 years and update the references in your text. References should be placed in order of appearance in the article. Unify references. If the year is placed in parentheses, put them in all, or vice versa. Author, Year, Title, Journal, Pages</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>- Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Typographical errors that need to be corrected. Spaces after periods, Dashes, Separations... - It would be interesting to give some more data in the conclusion, more information about the result obtained. The conclusions are scarce for the information obtained. - As a tip, you can add a section, Analysis of the limitations of the study and proposals for improvement. - The Materials and Methods section should be reviewed. Not clarified. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noé Anes García
Department, University & Country	University Oviedo, Spain