

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_101304
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Adult Females with Self-Poisoning in A Tertiary Care Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community as self-poisoning connotes serious danger to oneself. Highlighting the sociodemographic characteristics will go a long way to increasing high index of suspicion when such patient present. Moreover, education on self-poisoning and its prevention will be more focused on the vulnerable group.</p> <p>2. The title of the manuscript is suitable, but shallow. Psychiatric morbidity and the sociodemographic characteristics among patient managed for self-poisoning in a Tertiary healthcare facility. This would have been more appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive but should be reviewed to remove some redundant words like ... "conditions and illiterates with rural background".</p> <p>4. The subsections and the structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript may be scientifically correct, but it does not convey much information. This manuscript only listed the socio-demographic characteristics of the victims of self-poisoning. The study ought to show the predisposing factors to self-poisoning and probable remedies. Of what significance is the socio-demographic features to the management of self-poisoning?</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient for the title.</p> <p>7. The literature review should have taken into consideration the socio- demographic variables of self-poisoning among other countries of the third world nations. This could have been more informative than comparing these variables with a developed nation like America.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but it requires correction of tenses and grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Nwoba Nweke
Department, University & Country	Ebonyi State University, Nigeria