

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_SAJRM_103953 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ANTIMICROBIAL EFFECTS OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF GARCINIA KOLA NUTS ON SALMONELLA ISOLATES FROM CHICKEN DROPPINGS |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes it is important for scientific community as it explores the possible antimicrobial effects of aqueous extract of <i>Garcinia kola</i> nuts on <i>Salmonella</i>, which can prove beneficial to scientific community for further researches. 2. Title of the article is suitable. 3. Abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Yes, the subsections and structure of manuscript are appropriate. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The authors have included only one reference from year 2023, we are already almost at the end of 7th month of the year. I suggest to include more references from current year. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Quality of English language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The article is very well written and is easily understandable, the authors have investigated a minute but important aspect which may prove beneficial for the scientific community as well the society in the future.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Manoj Kumar |
| Department, University & Country | St. Xavier's College, India |