

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_104115
Title of the Manuscript:	TO STUDY THE EFFECTIVENESS OF OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY INTERVENTION ON BALANCE AND REACTION TIME TO ENHANCE QUALITY OF LIFE IN PATIENT WITH PARKINSON'S DISEASE: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Must be improved</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable? Must be improved</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Must be improved</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Must be improved</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Must be improved</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Must be improved.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>English language and style Moderate English changes required.</p> <p>Check the correct reference format according to the author guidelines of this journal.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>This study suggested very interesting results and experimental suggestions.</b></p> <p><b>Also, this paper is well written with logical flow.</b> The current manuscript presents only 10 references. I think I need to add related pictures.</p> <p><b>However, I found some minor limitations and suggest revision related these issues.</b></p> <p><b>This paper deserves to be published after minor revisions. It is necessary to additionally describe the thesis discussion and add references to it.</b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jung, Jin-Hwa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Semyung University, Republic of Korea</b>