

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_102574</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EVALUATING EFFECT OF PALM KERNEL SHELL ASH ADDITIVES ON COMPRESIVE STRENGTH PROPERTIES OF GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE OF CLAY FROM IRE EKITI AND IKERE EKITI</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes. This manuscript has its value and findings.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Yes. It's suitable.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Yes. But with a little grammar error.</b></li> <li>4. <b>The subsections and structure can be improved. Such as the subsections in the materials and method. Can be added, 3.2 Xray Fluorescence (if you use this testing for chemical composition result) and 3.3 Compressive Strength Test</b></li> <li>5. <b>Yes. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</b></li> <li>6. <b>The reference is sufficient</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The English is suitable. But grammar and sentence structure can be improved more (refer returned manuscript)</li> <li>2. Introduction : add a bit introduction on ire and ikere clay.</li> <li>3. Material and method : add more subsection 3.2 XRF and 3.3 Compressive strength as mentioned</li> <li>4. Result and discussion : Good but some discussion only mentioned in conclusion can also be elaborated in discussion.</li> <li>5. Conclusion : Good.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Improve grammar and paragraph structure.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fakhryna Hannanee Ahmad Zaidi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia</b>