

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_103542
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between Energy consumption, carbon dioxide emissions and economic growth: evidence from selected top oil energy-consuming countries
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is as it presents an interesting study of the relation between energy consumption and economic growth. Moreover, it presents an up-to-date review of the studies on factors influencing economic growth.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Yes, it is.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The paper presents a well planned and conducted study. It also includes a detailed review of the state-of-art of the studies on factors influencing economic growth. After minor corrections the study can be published. Detailed remarks are to be found in the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Barbara Wieliczko</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute for Rural and Agricultural Development, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland</b>