

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_97671</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Hormonal and Morphological Effects of Averrhoa Carambola Fruit Extract on Female Reproduction</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>-In this manuscript, the authors investigated the hormonal and morphological effects of Averrhoa Carambola fruit extract on female reproduction. The article suggests that Averrhoa Carambola results in ovarian and uterine weight gain, while it negatively affects progesterone levels. The manuscript is well written and the results are novel. It is a very straightforward article and I recommend its publication. The topic is important for scientific community.</p> <p>-The title is suitable, it well reflects the content of the article. -The abstract is comprehensive -The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. -The manuscript is scientifically correct. -For a short and straightforward article like this one, 24 references are sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Language need to be reviewed Grammar and punctuation must be check and corrected</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Baran Teoman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>New Jersey Institute of Technology, United States</b>