

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_102846
Title of the Manuscript:	Central Venous Catheter-Associated Deep Venous Thrombosis in Critically Ill Children in Tanta Pediatric Intensive Care Unit
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>“Factor VIII a Predictor and Enoxaparin sodium thromboprophylaxis of central venous catheter associated deep venous thrombosis in critically ill children in Tanta Paediatric Intensive care unit”</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Aim and Objective not mentioned</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not a review article, shall be considered as original article Title of the study and conclusion of the study is not in par In methodology, method for estimating factor VIII activity is not mentioned. Inclusion and exclusion criteria sentences are incomplete. Average with SD of hematological and biochemical parameters are not mentioned in result/table Number of patients with CVC developing deep venous thrombosis and apart from CVC any other underlying cause for DVT in those patients is not discussed Many sentences with same information are repeated</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Many sentences shall be reframed In between the sentence, capital letters are used Punctuation marks are not used wherever required Abbreviations using for the first time shall be in full form Changes to be done are highlighted in the article</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reena R
Department, University & Country	Rajarajeswari Medical College and Hospital, Dr. M.G.R. Educational and Research Institute, India