

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_103904
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and characterizations of sodium gluconate and study of its effects on the properties of Ordinary Portland cement as a retarding admixture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes The title is too long. I would suggest the following title: Synthesis and characterization of sodium gluconate and its effects on the properties of Portland cement Yes Yes Yes No, several more recent references may be needed in the References section from the last few years preferably. The manuscript needs to be revised for the improvement of the grammar - Please make sure the graphs in the manuscript include both vertical and horizontal necessary definitions	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, the manuscript needs to be revised for the improvement of the grammar	
Optional/General comments	I would recommend minor revision for this manuscript before the publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Anvari
Department, University & Country	University of Missouri, United States