

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104362
Title of the Manuscript:	Geo-Spatial tools for assessing Soil Fertilty- A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Geo-Spatial tools for assessing Soil Fertility- A review (Ms_IJPSS_104362)</p> <p>Following are the suggestions to the author(s) need to be incorporated :</p> <p>This review article is very casually written. There are number of the spelling mistakes in the review article. It seems that the manuscript is not checked after writing/typing. Even in the title of the review article spelling mistake of Fertility. As stated in the review these techniques will be able to analyse the soil quality up to the village level. How far these techniques are effective and result oriented which is not explained evidently. Reference should be given as per the format of the Journal. Some of the references are missing in the reference list and given in the text and Some of the references missing in text and given in reference list. It has to be corrected.</p> <p>Manuscript required revision as suggested.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pratibha Gupta
Department, University & Country	India