

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103858
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro compatibility of Trichoderma and Bacillus biocontrol agents with different fungicides
Type of the Article	Journal Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes it is important as it discusses the compatibility between biocontrol agents and small doses of fungicides to protect the plant from various soil borne diseases. Thus reducing the usage of fungicides that pollute the environment.</p> <p>2. Yes it is.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>A comment about the experimentation: It is preferred to test the isolates on the rizosphere area of the plants from which the isolates were isolated as the disease and its behaviour differ from one plant to the other and lab experimentation may not be a true indication to what would happen in the soil.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes Please take care that scientific names should be in italic</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In Material and Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Please provide accession numbers obtained from NCBI Gene bank for all the used isolates. -What is the source of the fungicide? -Please provide the reference of the Poisoned Food Technique -Does Poisoned Medium means PDA media+ fungicide? Please elaborate -What is the method of the statistics analysis used and its reference? <p>In References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Please revise reference number 2 in the references list. -Please revise the in text citation of references 4 and 20 -Recheck references 15 and 18 they are swapped. -reference no11 is not used <p>In Figure 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> T6 should be T5 T7 should be T6 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lobna A. A. Moussa
Department, University & Country	Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt