

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_103055
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Transfer Factor for Some Crops in Selected Cultivated Soils, Khidir City- Iraq
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>No. In this paper, the author(s) has (have) claimed to have measured both the mean value of activity and the Transfer Factor relating to some radionuclides such as ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th, and ⁴⁰K in some plants and soils using a semiconductor detector and gamma spectrometry in one of Iraq's cities (Khidir). The question is that what importance this city of Iraq has that the author(s) has (have) focused on? On the one side, there are neither nuclear power plants nor nuclear installations in this city.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>I am not sure.</p> <p>Yes. The number of the references is sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality of the article is fair.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author(s) has (have) never given any descriptions on how to measure the mentioned nuclear parameters including activity and the Transfer Factor.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seyed Alireza Mousavi Shirazi
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran