

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_104512
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES IN ADOPTING VALUE ADDITION TECHNOLOGIES IN ARECANUT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>No. The Title is too general for the study was limited to the Salem district, Tamil Nadu, India. The Authors should title their manuscript "CHALLENGES IN ADOPTING VALUE ADDED PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGIES IN ARECANUT IN A NOTHERN INDIA DISTRICT WITH SUBSTANTIAL ARCENUT FARMING"</p> <p>Yes, but the abstract has many grammatic errors.</p> <p>Yes, But there need to be a few sentences in the Conclusion devoted to limitations on the study and future avenues for research.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, I am leaning towards a professional copy-editing service that specializes in technical and scientific manuscripts.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Study is solid, presentation is deficient (please see previous).</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ralph J Johnson</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>USA</b>