

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102410
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic analysis of marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda the result under multiple organic manure treatments
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. This research focus on the economic analysis of marigold cultivation using multiple organic manure treatments. The study aims to evaluate the cost of cultivation, gross returns, net returns, and benefit-cost ratio of marigold cultivation under different organic manure treatments.</p> <p>2. Yes. The title appears to be informative and specific, providing key details about the study. However, it could be refined to enhance clarity and readability. Here's a suggested alternative: "Assessing the Economic Impact of Multiple Organic Manure Treatments on Marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda"</p> <p>3. Yes. It seems to cover some of the essential elements but lacks certain details. it should include specific numerical results, draw clear conclusions, and highlight the significance of the findings.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically accurate.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. I don't have any suggestions for additional reference materials.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research analysed the economic aspects of marigold cultivation using different organic manure treatments. Conducted at a university in Madhya Pradesh from 2021-2022, the study found that organic manures improved floral yield metrics and vegetative growth. Vermicompost applied at 16 t/ha showed the highest returns. The results support the use of organic manures to enhance economic returns and promote sustainable farming.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Le Trung Hieu
Department, University & Country	School of Economics and Law, Vietnam