

Review Form 1.7

	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101246
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Physical Properties of Soil from Different Villages of Devikulam Block of Idukki District of Kerala
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The article is an original research work on the <i>Assessment of Physical Properties of Soil from Different Villages of Devikulam Block of Idukki District of Kerala</i>. It contributes to an important aspect of soil and agricultural sciences. Overall, it is a good article and may be accepted after the suggested changes have been implemented.</p> <p>2. The title of the article would be better suited as <i>Assessment of physical properties of soil from different villages of Devikulam block of Idukki district of Kerala, India</i>.</p> <p>3. At the end of the abstract, a one-line conclusion must be written.</p> <p>4. The subsections of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p><u>Additional comments:</u></p> <p>i. The keywords are traditionally written in alphabetical order separated by semicolons.</p> <p>ii. The author(s) should include a few photographs of the actual plants, soil samples, etc. for better understanding of the research.</p> <p>iii. A few graphs for the data mentioned in the tables could be plotted for easier understanding of the data trends.</p> <p>iv. The duration or months of the experiment must be mentioned.</p> <p>v. The author(s) should add sections pertaining to recommendations and future scope of the study after the conclusions section, describing in brief how this current research can help in future soil and agricultural research and related policies.</p> <p>vi. There are some grammatical and formatting issues in the article. Some of these have been highlighted in the manuscript for the author(s) to take note of. The author(s) should correct these before re-submission.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avdesh Bhardawaj
Department, University & Country	India