

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_102434
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial Activity of Fresh Palm Fruit (Veitchia merillii) Against Propionibacterium acnes Bacteria
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript important for scientific community.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need of natural juice as edible content • Easy to cure skin diseases, any bacterial disease etc., • Economic in medicinal food source <p>Yes, title is correct.</p> <p>Yes, But some grammatical sentences has to be corrected. Abstract 2nd line - that will be - not correct, "The infection consider" can be change.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, recent reference is coated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language framed by the author can be change, though grammatical errors were mentioned</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • namely aged 14-17 • (Hayati et al., n.d YEAR. • et al – italics 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • inhibition 23±0.24 mm – where is the SEM table • Next table is not table, it is figure- can be changes-clearly • Sampel – spelling • Zona Hambat– spelling • Reference order should be in correct order. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. Senthamarai Selvi
Department, University & Country	School of Allied Health Sciences, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, India