

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_102532
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultural Signifiers as African Identity in Achebe's Novels
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript deals with the significance and role of culture through Achebe's novels. It also brings out the essentials of cultural signifier in the selected novels.</p> <p>The title is apt and no change required.</p> <p>The abstract provides overall view of the manuscript.</p> <p>Subsections of the manuscript are appropriate. However, more in text references are required.</p> <p>Though the manuscript appears to be organized, it would be better if there is a section on the method of analysis of the selected texts.</p> <p>The references presented in the manuscript are sufficient.</p> <p>Some paragraphs in the Introduction can be incorporated in the literature survey. Further, methodology section can be included to strengthen the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The quality of language is good but there are a few minor grammatical and punctuation errors that need to be rectified. Besides, there are some redundant phrases and sentences that need to be altered.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the reference section, there are spacing and punctuation errors that need to be corrected.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Barathi
Department, University & Country	Srinivasa Ramanujan Centre, India