

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_102532
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultural Signifiers as African Identity in Achebe's Novels
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, of course.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but it claims seven different aims of the manuscript. It would be better to restrict the scope to just one aim that contributes to the development of the theme hinted at in the title. The aim is articulated in the third sentence of the introduction section. It is appropriate to some extent.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1. There should have been more in review of the lit section since there have been plenty of secondary sources on Achebe. Review is not up to date, either. However, there are many quotes in the text! Reference section too claims the use of several works!!</p> <p>2. Conclusion should be in one paragraph.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Bullets should be avoided. Bullets should be rewritten as paragraphs. Sub-titles after bullets are summary in nature. Hence, they should also be avoided.</p> <p>2. Review section should be strengthened by reviewing the works listed in the Reference.</p> <p>3. Appropriate pronouns should be used instead of the repetition of Achebe and its possessive form.</p> <p>4. Conclusion should be precise and concise. So, it should be rewritten in one paragraph indicating the scope for further study on the theme.</p> <p>5. The several claims made about the study should be cut down to the theme of the study.</p> <p>6. Aim is different from objectives of the study in that the latter represent the sub-sections of the writing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeyaraj John Sekar
Department, University & Country	The American College, India