

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_102871
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring Fish Eating Habits: Factors Influencing Feeding Behavior
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is important for scientific community because it can encourage understanding of fish feeding behavior within the limitations of dining space (ecological niches) and aquaculture</p> <p>the title is quite appropriate but needs to be limited or focused based on the habitat so it is not too general</p> <p>Abstract is quite comprehensive</p> <p>Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, I think the text is scientifically correct</p> <p>I think that's enough, but it would be nice to see anyway at the fish base</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the quality of the language / English of the article is appropriate</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The title does not limit the species discussed by habitat (marine, estuarine or fresh water) or Tropical and sub-tropical. Not explained with regard to fish species in the tropics or sub-tropics. Not grouped based on fish habitat (marine, estuary and fresh water). There is no discussion of differences in the size of eating habits based on biological factors (juvenile, pre mature and mature phases). Table 1 does not explain which fish are in the euryphagic, stenophagic and monophagic groups. There is no explanation for the possible changes in the feeding behavior of fish from the euryphagic, stenophagic and monophagic group 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aditya Irawan
Department, University & Country	Mulawarman University, Indonesia