

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_101088
Title of the Manuscript:	POSSITIVITY POSITIVITY RATE OF SPUTUM CYTOLOGY COMPARED TO BRONCHOSCOPY AND TRANSTHORACIC NEEDLE ASPIRATION IN LUNG CANCER PATIENTS AT WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO HOSPITAL, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript has a very straightforward outcome that would be beneficial to the scientific community. Nevertheless, the manuscript requires a very thorough checking for the English language. There are many confusing and inaccurate sentences that require checking by at least, a local English editing service. Proofreading is compulsory prior to publication.</p> <p>2. No. The tile mentioned " ..COMPARED TO BRONCHOSCOPY AND TRANSTHORACIC NEEDLE ASPIRATION" but there is no data or comparison made between sputum cytology and the bronchoscopy and/or transthoracic needle aspiration. Consider rephrase the title to "Positivity rate of sputum cytology in lung cancer patients at Wahidin Sudirohusodo Hospital, Indonesia".</p> <p>3. Yes, but there are changes to be amended. Please see my comments in the .docx file.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. No. Some sentences would need to be cited. Please cite accordingly. Please refer to my comments for more details.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The manuscript requires major proofreading for the language. Many of the sentences are inaccurate, confusing, and awkward. A thorough proofreading is compulsory.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naqib Rafieqin Bin Noordin
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaya, Malaysia