

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_101088
Title of the Manuscript:	POSSITIVITY RATE OF SPUTUM CYTOLOGY COMPARED TO BRONCHOSCOPY AND TRANSTHORACIC NEEDLE ASPIRATION IN LUNG CANCER PATIENTS AT WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO HOSPITAL, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>May be. Sputum cytology examination is the only non-invasive examination that can detect lung cancer. The present hospital-based study tries to offer an insight on some patients both by sputum cytology and bronchoscopic studies. In it, sputum cytology provided the lowest sensitivity; it could be compared with chest radiography limited usefulness in detecting early SCLC.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>More or less.</p> <p>More or less. If the sentence "Study by Tintin et al., found the sensitivity of sputum examination with 3% NaCl inhalation of 4.3% [...]" is true, the source from which it was retrieved must be quoted. "This was in line with some literature which stated that ...", the source from which it was retrieved must be quoted. References are not in conformity with the standard; they should be written down according to author guidelines.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English mistakes: "history was 59 patients"; ". Several literatures, although not significant, the size of lung cancer which was quite large had a ...", "the more likely to invades", "may had a smaller tumor sizes".</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enrique Wulff
Department, University & Country	ICMAN-CSIC, Spain