

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_102789
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of culture media on the antimicrobial activity of fluorescent Pseudomonas crude supernatants extracts against <i>Phytophthora colocasiae</i> , the causal agent of the Taro Leaf Blight
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript deals with the search for alternative solutions to the use of chemicals in the fight against phytopathogens, especially <i>Phytophthora colocasiae</i> in Taro which represents an important food resource in Cameroon. Which is quite interesting. The manuscript is well structured. The interesting results contained in this manuscript complement the existing scientific knowledge in the field of the fight against plants pathologies by the use of biological agents or their metabolites.</p> <p>2. To my opinion the title is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes, except for a few abbreviations (15Pco, 17Pmo, 17Pco...) which have appeared and which are not explained in the materials &amp; methods.</p> <p>4. No</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. References are sufficient. Even if some are very old dating back more than 20 years while there are more recent ones from 2016-2022 which deal with the subject.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, however some corrections have been proposed to the authors of the manuscript. Authors will be able to see them in tracking mode in the manuscript.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I would like to invite the journal to make line numbering compulsory in its instructions to authors. This will make it easier to edit the review report.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anihouvi Dona Gildas Hippolyte</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Nutrition, Food Science and Technology, University of Abomey, Benin</b>