

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

JournalName:	InternationalJournalofEnvironmentandClimateChange
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_102660
TitleoftheManuscript:	SpatialvulnerabilityassessmentanddiurnalclimatologyoflightningeventsinTamilNadu
TypeoftheArticle	

GeneralguidelineforPeerReviewprocess:

Thisjournal'speer reviewpolicystates that **NO** manuscripts should berejected only on the basis of 'lackofNovelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It will become important, if author is able to provide additional information.</p> <p>It will be ok, if author is able to provide more details on climatology. Diurnal lightning is likely to be caused due to certain climate condition, and author needs to provide the background climate information, such as wind speed and direction, temperature.</p> <p>No, there is no discussion on spatial vulnerability. How diurnal lightning affecting human activity and the surface land form are needed to be addressed.</p> <p>No. A section of literature review is needed. Moreover, many in-text citations are wrong. Take "the finding of [number]" for example. The number has to be replaced by writer last name.</p> <p>No. Literature review is missing and the format of in-text citation is wrong.</p> <p>Author should include more discussion of spatial condition of lightning hot spot, so that reader is able to understand how to avoid being hit by lightning.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Te-I A. Tsai
Department, University & Country	College of Environmental Design, Chinese Culture University, Taiwan