

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_101299
Title of the Manuscript:	ON THE EXISTENCE OF A HOLLOW NEUTRON STAR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	In the present work the author considered the published work of Jun Ni (2011) which is related to the solution of the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equations describing the structure of stable neutron stars. In his work Jun Ni (2011) already discussed that the Neutron Star is a hollow sphere with the inner physical surface and cavity inside. In the present work authors discuss the possibility of formation of a new type Neutron Star in which case the maximum density appears at a distance far from its centre. Author also discussed the issues that arise in the case of hollow neutron stars. The manuscript needs minor revision. I suggest that, In the introduction Section, the author should make it clear what author wants to contribute in addition to the earlier cited works by the previous authors (Ni, J. (2011), Luboš Nelson (2015)). It will be better to introduce at the end of the introduction section, the plans for various sections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anuj Kumar Dubey
Department, University & Country	Allenhouse Institute of Technology, India