

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IAARJ_100397</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Plausible Implication of the Universe Accelerated Expansion on Extragalactic Radio Source Luminosity.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaari.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>I suggest adding these two references in this paper, especially in the conclusion and I invite the author to read the Abstract of these references online to get some extra points to explain the mechanism of how extragalactic radio sources may appear brighter at earlier epochs than at later epochs.</p> <p>1. Solving the Modern Cosmic Conundrum via Our Traditional Physics Concepts <a href="https://stm.bookpi.org/RPST-V5/article/view/9751">https://stm.bookpi.org/RPST-V5/article/view/9751</a></p> <p>2. The Observation of the Dark Matter of the Universe through the Concept of the Theory of the Relativity <a href="https://stm.bookpi.org/RTCPS-V2/article/view/4490">https://stm.bookpi.org/RTCPS-V2/article/view/4490</a></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Armen Ohan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iraq</b>