

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRHE_102399
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comparative Study of Liver Steatosis in Lean and Obese Patients with Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Based on Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis and Controlled Attenuation Parameter</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrhe.com/index.php/AJRRHE/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This study is significant because the pathogenesis of NAFLD is still not as clear as in obese people, and especially in people with normal body weight. Also, the prevalence of NAFLD is very high throughout the world. The title of this manuscript is suitable, the abstract is clear and concise. The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The English language is suitable, but has grammar errors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The goal of the current study was to examine hepatic steatosis in obese and lean NAFLD patients, analyze their body compositions, and determine their risk factors for developing the disease.</p> <p>This is this cross-sectional research that has clearance (34854/8/21) from the Tanta University Department of Tropical Medicine and Infectious Diseases Ethical Committee.</p> <p>The authors concluded that Insulin resistance and sarcopenia were the two risk factors for NAFLD that were most common in slim people. Despite not presenting with obesity, those with lean/non-obese NAFLD have increased visceral adiposity, and sarcopenia is a typical symptom.</p> <p>Minor Comments: In all Tables parameters put as abbreviations (for example alkaline phosphatase....) The title of Tables should be improved (for example delete demonstrates, or shows...) Check all manuscript for grammar errors</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tatjana Radosavljevic</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Belgrade, Serbia</b>