

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJCRMH_101837   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Events Supposedly Attributed to Vaccine or Immunization of the AstraZeneca vaccine: a case study |
| Type of the Article      | Case report  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>1-Yes, it adds value to the current literature.</p> <p>2- Yes, it is suitable and reflects the study's outcome.</p> <p>3-Yes, it is informative and conveys the entire message of the paper effectively.</p> <p>4- Yes, the manuscript has a fluent narrative, is concise and complete. The subsections are organized properly.</p> <p>5- Yes</p> <p>6-References are sufficient.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | Yes  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   |  |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                       |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Reham Aboshdid</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>HMC, Qatar</b>     |