

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_100499
Title of the Manuscript:	A brief overview on plague: Symptoms and transmission
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript brings to the fore, a disease that is neglected but very deadly. It is therefore suitable for the scientific community</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are sufficient and current</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication however, few grammatical errors were spotted and suggested corrections are available on the review pane of the main manuscript	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bamidele Nyemike Ogunro
Department, University & Country	University of Ibadan, Nigeria